



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_63435
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors associated with completion of continuum of care for maternal health services in Kaski district, Nepal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Check writing 2. Abbreviations 3. Results	1. The article is not very good written. There are phrases that are repeated and words stuck 2. The description of the abbreviations should be included in the abstract 3. The results should be reviewed since they do not always add up to 100%. The minimum age is 16 or 17? In the text it puts one thing and in the table another	
Minor REVISION comments Results	It would be interesting to relate the results with the morbidity and mortality of women and their children	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ariadna Ayerza Casas
Department, University & Country	Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet, Spain