



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_51925
Title of the Manuscript:	VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING PERCEPTION ON INTERGRATION OF PRISONERS INTO THE SOCIETY
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the abstract, the researcher indicated that regression analysis was done to assess the impact of perception on the integration of prisoners into society, without showing the result of the regression analysis. The researcher advised to include a hypothesis and the result of the hypothesis test in the research.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use APA style referencing • Some references cited in the text not appeared in the reference list, i.e.: (Esperian, 2017); Esperian (2010); (Chung 2013), or what cited different from what in the reference ((Babbie, 2010), Western and Bruce (2007)) • In Abstract the researcher pointed out that trainees were selected randomly from a pool of those who undertook VET, while in Table 1 the researcher used systematic random sample of prison trainees. 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emad Yusuf Qassem Masoud
Department, University & Country	Higher Colleges of Technology, UAE