SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJASS_51925**
Title of the Manuscript:	**VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING PERCEPTION ON INTERGRATION OF PRISONERS INTO THE SOCIETY**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Its not clear how you got the permission to the prison and collect the data from high security prisoners.** **2. Tables mean very low and lot of 'I don't know' any justification?** **3. Where are the different set of questionnaires for prisoners, trainers, trainee, officers?** **4. Not enough analysis to highlight the whole study** **5. Not sufficient references**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Ahmad Rasmi Albattat***
Department, University & Country	***Management and Science University, Malaysia***