Review Article

Application of Markers Assisted Selection for Striga*hermonthic* Resistance on Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench.

. Abstract

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.[Moench]) is a staple food crop for smallholder farmers in arid and 8 semiarid (ASALs) regions worldwide, feeding over 500 million of the world's most resource 9 poor. Development of Striga. asiaticaresistant cultivars by conventional breeding is slow and has 10 been hampered by the lack of efficient andreliable screening techniques in breeding programs. 11 12 Molecular markers that are linked to witchweedresistance can expedite the development of resistant cultivars through adoption of appropriate marker assisted selection (MAS) strategies. 13 14 Marker-assisted selection involves the selection of genotypes carrying a desirable gene(s) via linked markers, through marker assisted selection-MAS more rapid transfer of traits from donor 15 parents to more elite locally adapted crop cultivars is possiblewith simple-sequence repeat 16 (SSR) markers which have been initially used initially to detect polymorphism between the 17 18 parent cultivars. Although costly to develop relative to some other classes of genetic markers, once developed, analysis by SSR markers is both easy and inexpensive. The highly polymorphic 19 nature (high information content) and other favorable characteristics make them excellent 20 genetic markers for a number of studies many types of investigations, including marker assisted 21 selection and fingerprinting of germplasm collections. In this review, I-wesummarize the 22 molecular markers that arelinked to the inheritancethe trait or low germination stimulant 23 production is one of the recognized mechanisms of witch weed resistance. 24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

Key words: S. triga-asiatica; Linkage map; Molecular Marker

Formatted: Font: Italic
Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Numbering: Continuous

- 26
- 27 28

29

INTRODUCTION

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) is a diploid grass (2n=20) and it'sit is the emerging as a model crop species in for the a second position among the staple food grains in the ASLs-semiarid tropics[1]. It remains a critical component of food security for more than 300 million in Africa and it is a staple crop for more than 500 million people in 30 sub-Saharan African and Asian countries [2].

30

It serves as a good source of food and nutrition to millions of people in the <u>ASALseemi arid</u> regions of the world [3]. Sorghum is also increasingly gaining importance as a source of livestock feed and biofuel [4]. <u>Globally, Fi</u>t is grown in at least 86 countries, on an area of 47 million hectares (ha), with annual grain production of 69 million tonnes and average productivity of 1.45 t/ha (reference). Sorghum is ranked second, after maize as the most <u>significant important</u> cereal crop in drought prone areas, particularly in sub Saharan Africa where it originated[5]).

Parasitic plants are a major threat to today's agriculture and provide an intriguing case of
pathogenesis between species of relatively close evolutionary ancestry [6]. Almost all crop
species arepotential hosts for parasitic plants, but severe disease outbreaks are usually restricted
to certain host–pathogen combinations[6].Among the 23 species of *Strigaspp* prevalent in Africa, *Striga-hermonthica* is the most socio- economically important weed in eastern Africa. *S. hermonthica* is particularly harmful to sorghum, maize, millet infestation also increasingly being
found in sugarcane and rice fields[7].

49 The Marker assisted selection MAS is genetic engineering which involves the artificial insertion 50 of such individuals' genes from one organism into the genetic material of another (typically, but 51 not exclusively from other unrelated species [8].<u>The MAS which is sometimes referred to as</u> 52 genomics is a form of biotechnology which uses genetic finger printing techniques to assist plant 53 breeders in matching molecular profile to the physical properties of the variety (reference). It is 54 the identification of <u>deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA</u>) sequences located near genes that can be 55 tracked to breed for traits that are difficult to observe [9]..

The ability to associate quantitative phenotypic data with genetic maps has helped to increase the
inheritance of complex agronomic traits in sorghum such as1)..., 2)...., 3)..... [10], which is
beginning to lead to-marker assisted in plant breeding (reference). However, the application of

Comment [WU1]: In the abstract you have stated 500 million world wide ???

Comment [WU2]: ???

this technology is still relatively new, and it may take some time before marker assisted selection
(MAS) becomes a routine operation in most sorghum breeding programs [11].

Damage to crops is often severebecause *Striga* has a remarkably bewitching effect on the host plant it invades (reference). Effective control of *Striga* has beendifficult to achieve through conventional agronomic practices, since the parasite exerts its greatest damage before itsemergence above ground provides evidence for host plantinfection (reference). Estimates on the extent of crop damage in a countryor region in the African continent vary depending on thecrop cultivar and degree of infestation [12].

67 A number of control measures that have been tried are either not successful or are not feasible economically (reference). Integrated management strategies with host plant resistance as their 68 69 backbone are believed to be the only solution[11]. However, this integrated approach had limited 70 success, since efforts to identify germplasm with resistance to Strigaparasitism generally failed 71 (reference). This is due to the difficulty in selection for resistance in field tests, where 72 unpredictable environmental factors influence *Striga*infestation. (reference). Some 73 Strigaresistance genes are also recessive, increasing the timerequired for, and difficulty of convectional backcrossschemes (reference). Breeding for Striga resistance in the field is difficult 74 because of the quantitative nature of the trait and strong influence of the environment on its 75 expression [11]. Hence, the aim of this review is **T**to provide the summary of tightly linked to 76 previously identified Strigaresistance quantitative trait loci (QTLs), and the map and locate 77 78 QTLs for Striga resistance by applying MAS breeding for Striga resistant sorghum varieties[13].

79 Marker assisted selection and Molecular marker for crop improvement

80 <u>The MAS Marker assisted selection</u> involves the selection of genotypes carrying a desirable 81 gene(s) via linked markers, through <u>MASmarker assisted selection (MAS)</u>; more rapid transfer 82 of traits from donor parents to more elite locally adapted crop cultivars is possible. Recently, 83 utilization of molecular markers in breeding programs has received considerable attention using 84 different crossing schemes [14]. The identification of the molecular markers for specific *Striga* 85 resistance mechanisms facilitates faster introgression and pyramiding of genes controlling this 86 important trait. In the few studies that relate to the other *Striga* resistance mechanisms, [15] identified and mapped QTLs associated with Striga resistance in the sorghum variety, N13,
where mechanical barrier is the suggested mechanism of Striga resistance.

Molecular markers are identifiable DNA sequence, found at specific locations of the genome and associated with the inheritance of a trait or linked gene [16], refer to molecular markers as naturally occurring polymorphism which include proteins and nucleic acids that are detectably different. Rapid advances are genome research and molecular biologyas led to the use of DNA markers in plant breeding. Target genes in a segregating population can be identified with the assistance of DNA makers so as to accelerate traditional breeding programs[16].

Markers must be polymorphic they must exist in different forms so that the chromosome carrying the mutant gene can be distinguished from the chromosome with normal gene by form
of the marker it carries (reference). Polymorphism can be detected at three levels morphological,
biochemical or molecular [17].

99 The invention of molecular markers has significantly enhanced the effectiveness of breeding for Striga resistance [11]. Significant progress has been made to identify molecular markers 100 101 associated with Striga resistance in sorghum under field conditions (reference). The theoretical advantages of using genetic markers and the potential value of genetic marker linkage maps and 102 103 direct selection in plant breeding were first reported by who in which year [18]. However, it was not until the advent of DNA marker technology in the 1980s, that a large enough number of 104 105 environmentally insensitive genetic markers generated to adequately follow the inheritance of important agronomic traits and since then DNA marker technology has dramatically enhanced 106 the efficiency of plant breeding(reference). The DNA-based molecular markers have acted as 107 versatile tools and have found their own position in various fields like taxonomy, plant breeding, 108 and genetic engineering[19]. 109

110

Markers used in introgression

In sorghum molecular genetics maps have been developed and positions of various DNA markers have been reported [20]. Genetic linkage maps of sorghum harboring <u>full name</u> (RFLP)markers [21], AFLP [22], SSR [23], RAPD [10, 24] and EST-SSR [25] markers have reported. The use of SSR markers for the genetic analysis and manipulation of important agronomic traits is becoming increasingly useful in sorghum improvement. Molecular markers Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [WU3]: Full name then abbreviation can be used in all cases

have been used in sorghum to identify quantitative trait loci QTL for many complex traits, 116 including resistance to the parasitic weed Striga. Five QTLs representing the genomic regions 117 associated with stablewhat ???. The development of DNA markers for resistance to pests and 118 diseases in sorghum is receiving great priority e.g. in breeding new populations for striga prone 119 120 environment[18]. Five genomic regions (QTL) associated with stable striga resistance from 121 resistant line N13 have been identified across a range of 10 field trials in Mali and Kenya and two independent samples of a mapping population involving this resistance source, indicating 122 that the QLT are biological realities(reference). 123

Simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers

124

Simple sequence repeats (SSR) are regions of DNA that consist of short, tandem repeated units (2-6 bp in length) found within the coding or noncoding regions of all eukaryotic organisms[26]. If nucleotide sequences in the flanking regions of the microsatellite areknown, specific primers (generally 20–25 bp) can be designed to amplify the microsatellite bypPolymerase cChain **R**reaction (PCR). Different alleles can be detected at a locus by PCR usingconversed DNA sequences flanking the SSR as primers. SSR markers have been used initially todetect polymorphism between the parent cultivars[27].

Although costly todevelop relative to some other classes of genetic markers, once developed, 132 analysis by SSRmarkers is both easy and inexpensive. The highly polymorphic nature (high 133 134 information content)and other favorable characteristics make them excellent genetic markers for many types of investigations, including marker assisted selection and fingerprinting of 135 germplasm collections [28].Different alleles can be detected at a locus by PCR using conserved 136 137 DNA sequences flanking the SSR as primers. Combined, these maps include over 800 markers[29]. Based on a series of field evaluations of two independent RILs, [30] also confirmed 138 139 the position and the stability of the identified the QTLs .

140 Table 1. SSR markers used for background selection in BC3S4& BC4F1 Populations

Marker	Forward	Reverse
Xtxp050	TGATGTTGTTACCCTTCTGG	AGCCTATGTATGTGTTCGTCC
Xtxp065	CACGTCGTCACCAACCAA	GTTAAACGAAAGGGAAATGGC
Xcup033	GCGCTGCTGTGTGTTGTTC	ACGGGGATTAGCCTTTTAGG

Comment [WU6]: Write in full then, abbreviate if used for the first time



Xtxp274	GAAATTACAATGCTACCCCTAAAAGT	ACTCTACTCCTTCCGTCCACAT
Xtxp013	TCTTTCCCAAGGAGCCTAG	GAAGTTATGCCAGACATGCTG
Xtxp197	CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACGCGTCAATTAATCCAAACAGCCT C	GAGTTCCTATTCCCGTTCATGGTG AT
Xtxp225	TTGTTGCATGTTGGTTATAG	CAAACAAGTTCAGAAGCTC
Xiabtp515	TGCCACATCGATCTTGTCAC	AGGCAGTCACCCACACTACC
XmsbCIR2 68	CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACGCTTCTATACTCCCCTCCAC	TTTATGGTAGGATGCTCTGC
Xcup037	CCCAGCCTTCCTCCTGATAC	GTACCGACTCCAATCCAACG
Xiabtp500	CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACTTGTGCTGGTAGACGTGGTC	GCATTGGTATCCAACTGCAA
Xtxp014	GTAATAGTCATGACCGAGG	TAATAGACGAGTGAAAGCCC
Xtxp56	TGTCTTCGTAGTTGCGTGTTG	CCGAAGGAGTGCTTTGGAC
Xtxp296	CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACCAGAAATAACATATAATGATGG GGTGAA	ATGCTGTTATGATTTAGAGCCTGT AGA GTT
Xtxp080	CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACGCTGCACTGTCCTCCCACAA	CAGCAGGCGATATGGATGAGC
Xtxp317	сстссттттсстсстсссс	TCAGAATCCTAGCCACCGTTG
Xisep346	CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACCGCTCCTCAGGCTCCTCT	TCCTCGAGCACCTGGTTG
Xiabtp444	CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACCCTTCTTCCACCTCCGTTCTC	GGGAGAGAGAGAGGGTCCATA
XmsbCIR2 23	CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACCGTTCCAATGACTTTTCTTC	GCCAATGTGGTGTGATAAAT

For foreground and background selection, markers have been investigated by[31] and [32] who reported a case that a QTL is an estimated gene with unknown position, introgression a favorable allele of the QTL by recurrent backcrossing could be powerful for improvement, provided that the expression of the gene(s) is not reduced in the recurrent genomic backgrounds. Generally, molecular markers can very effectively increase the efficiency of backcrossing by background selection for the genotype of the recurrent parent, with or without foreground selection for the donor parent alleles at markers in the region of the genome controlling the target trait[33].

148

Biology of striga

Striga seeds are very small and possess limited energy reserves compared to those produced by facultative parasites or free-living angiosperms (reference). Germination of Striga seeds appears to improve with long-term dry-seed storage. A chemical stimulus produced by host roots elicits parasitic seed germination, but an additional metabolic process needs to take place before the seed can respond to this external stimulus with germination. There is preparatory process known, as conditioning requires exposure of the Striga seed to warm and moist environment so that the

imbibed seed may respond to chemical stimulants of germination. Essential metabolic pathways 155 appear to operate in the seed during the conditioning process leading to respiration and synthesis 156 157 of proteins and hormones that would be involved in subsequent steps of parasitism (Joel et al., 158 2007). Striga seeds that have after-ripened and conditioned will germinate in response to minute 159 levels of exudates released by host roots. If the environmental conditioning has prepared seeds to germinate but no host stimuli is available in its proximity, Striga possesses an unusual but 160 valuable capacity of entering "wet-dormancy," an ability to revert to a dormant state, which is 161 reversible after desiccation (Mohamed et al., 1998). 162

163 Generally, Striga germination is controlled by a group of sesquiterpene derivatives including 164 strigol, first isolated from cotton (Gossypium spp.) [34], which is not a Striga host. [34]reported the isolation of a sorgolactone as the major Striga germination stimulant exuded by sorghum 165 roots. About the same time, [35]reported the identification of alectrol as the major germination 166 stimulant from cowpea, and [36]isolated sorgolactones also from maize and proso millet 167 (Panicummiliaceum L.). It is believed that endogenous ethylene plays a key role in the response 168 of Striga to these germination stimulants [37]. Germinated Striga seeds attain a brief period of 169 free-living state with an elongated radicle which may grow to a length of a few millimeters just 170 171 on the small seed reserve.



Comment [WU7]: Consistency

Comment [WU8]: cionsistency

Formatted: Font: Italic

Figure 1. The Striga life cycle showing intricate association between the parasite, its hosts, and the environment with potential sites for genetic exploitation

175

Striga Resistance Mechanisms

Striga is an obligate parasite the interaction between striga and its host plant play a crucial role in 176 177 the survival of the parasite. The following resistance mechanisms have been proposed [11].Low 178 production of germination stimulant, one of the better understood mechanisms of resistance 179 against Striga by sorghum is low production of compounds by the host root that Strigaseeds 180 require asstimulants for germination. Mechanical barriers (lignification of cell walls); e.g. with 181 this mechanism is N13 and Framida[38].Inhibition of germ tube exoenzymes by root exudates; 182 Phytoalexin synthesis; kill the attached Striga, hence does not penetrate host tissues or develop further. 183

184 Post-attachment hypersensitive reactions or incompatibility: characterized by the appearance of necroticzones around the site of attempted infection (reference). Death of host cells results in 185 186 unsuccessful establishment of the parasite hence its ultimate demise. Examples of sorghum genotypes with this mechanism are Framida, Dobbs, SAR 16, SAR 19, SAR 33, Sorghum 187 versicolorand wild sorghum accession P47121 [11, 38]. Antibiosis, i.e., reduced striga 188 development through Unfavorable phytohormone supply by the host, This mechanism is present 189 in SRN 39 and N13, Insensitivity to striga toxin (maintenance of stomatal aperture and 190 191 photosynthetic efficiency); Avoidance through root growth habit (fewer roots in the upper 15 ± 20 192 cm). Absence of a haustorial induction compound in root exudates is unlikely to be a resistance mechanism in sorghum[39]. 193

194

Genetics of Resistance of striga

Setrigolactones have a role in the development of root system architecture was the finding that Arabidopsis mutants in the strigolactone response or biosynthesis have more lateral roots than the wild type[40]. Accordingly, treatment of seedlings with GR24 (a synthetic and biologically active trigolactone[41] repressed lateral root formation in the wild type and the strigolactonesynthesis mutants (MAX3 and MAX4) but not in the strigolactone-response mutant (max2), suggesting that the negative effect of strigolactones on lateral root formation is (max2) dependent [42]. This negative effect on lateral root formation was reversed in Arabidopsis under phosphate deficiency[42]. Strigolactones are also suggested to regulate primary root length.

GR24 led to elongation of the primary root and an increase in meristem cell number in anMAX2- dependent manner [42, 43].

205

Genetic mapping in sorghum

206 The first group of genetic linkage maps of sorghum consisted primarily of RFLP markers derived from maize probes [44-46]. Comparison of these maps with those of maize revealed a high 207 degree of synteny between the two genomes also noted that many of the probes which mapped to 208 209 a single locus in sorghum were duplicated in maize, suggesting possible duplication events in the evolution of maize after its divergence from sorghum (reference). These early maps, however, 210 211 did not contain enough markers to resolve ten linkage groups, which is the haploid chromosome number for sorghum. [47]published the first 'complete' linkage map of sorghum with ten linkage 212 213 groups using mostly sorghum-derived RFLP probes, and some from maize (reference). This map was based on an interspecific cross (S. bicolor BTx623 \times S. propinguum), mapped in the F2 214 215 generation. A 'composite' map using the genotypic data from two recombinant inbred(RI) populations was published by [48] with linkage group designations following those of Pereira et 216 217 al. (1994). This map contained 199 markers on 13 linkage groups and was later supplemented in subsequent publications with the addition of more RFLP and AFLP markers [49], as well as with 218 morphological markers, reducing the number of linkage groups to 11, with two very small 219 220 unlinked clusters[22][50] also published a map of sorghum using RFLP probes primarily derived from sorghum, and some from maize. This map contained 190 markers on 10 major linkage 221 groups, and four smaller ones. This map was based on the genotypes of 50F2 plants from a cross 222 between IS3620C and BTx623. Several later studies improved upon this map by addition of more 223 loci. Using 137 RI lines from this same cross generated a linkage map containing 323 mapped 224 loci on 10 linkage groups. The total length of this map was 1,347 cM.[23]reported the addition of 225 147 SSR loci to this map using the same RI population, the total map length to 1,406 cM. 226 227 Though these maps were useful tools for mapping of quantitative trait loci (QTL), the lack of 228 agreement between maps from various research groups, as well as relatively poor map quality, 229 made comparison of results with other studies or research groups very difficult. Clearly, there 230 arose a need among the sorghum research community for a consensus map.

More recently, two very dense genetic linkage maps of sorghum have emerged-[51] added AFLP markers to the IS3620C × BTx623 map of [23] to create a very dense linkage map containing 2,926 loci on 10 linkage groups with a total genetic distance of 1,713 cM. Shortly thereafter, using the interspecific cross (*S. bicolor* BTx623 × *S. propinquum*) of [47], another dense linkage map was generated. This map contained 2,512 loci on 10 linkage groups, and is based entirely on RFLP probes[52]. interestingly, the total genetic distance of this map was much shorter than the map by[51], at only 1,059.2 cM.



Molecular markers have been used to identify and characterize QTL associated with many different traits in sorghum, including plant height and maturity[53], traits associated with domestication [54], disease resistance, insect resistance [55], and drought tolerance.

238

239

240

Formatted: Font: Italic

Identification of QTL often leads to further investigations to identify the underlying gene or genes through fine mapping and map-based cloning.

When successfully implemented, such studies provide valuable insight into the genetic mechanisms controlling complex, and often economically important, traits. However, from a practical plant breeding standpoint, QTL are usually identified for the purpose of finding linked molecular markers that can be utilized in trait introgression for crop improvement, and often the specific underlying genes are not identified. For the purposes of this review, examples of QTL identification for tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses important in sorghum are highlighted [24].

253

Identification of QTL for Striga Resistance

Several parasitic plant species of the genus *Striga* are major pests of sorghum in parts of Africa, often causing complete loss of the crop in severe infestations[56]. Because efforts to control the pest through chemical or cultural means have been met with limited success and are often not practical in poor areas, developing crops with genetic resistance is currently the best strategy for dealing with Striga infestation. However, field resistance to Striga is a complex quantitative trait that has been difficult to address via conventional plant breeding approaches.

The identification of the molecular markers for specific *Striga* resistance mechanisms facilitates faster introgression and pyramiding of genes controlling this important trait. In the few studies that relate to the other *Striga* resistance mechanisms, [30] identified and mapped QTLs associated with Striga resistance in the sorghum variety, N13, where mechanical barrier is the suggested mechanism of Striga resistance. Based on a series of field evaluations of two independent RILs, [30] also confirmed the position and the stability of the identified the QTLs.

Table 2: Linkage group (LG), position and support interval for a LOD decrease of 1.0 (sup. int.),
flanking marker interval, LOD score, partial coefficient of determination (R2) and estimated
additive effect (aI) of the QTL detected in the two sets of RIP-1

LG^b	Position in	Flanking	LOD ^c	\mathbb{R}^2	a ^d	C ^e
	centiMorgans (sup. int)	marker interval				
А	170(165-180)	33/50-561; txp 302	2.9	10.7	0.7	
B1	15(5-30)	umc88; txp 1	2.7	10.3	0.7	
B2	95(80-100)	txp296; 14/48-181	2.5	9.5	0.6	

Comment [WU9]: Rephrase and start sentence differently

1 2 1

B2	5 (0-25)	txp197; txp 050	3	11.6	0.8	3
С	0 (0–15)	14/48-324; bnl 5.37	3.4	12.7	0.7	3
С	125 (115–130)	11/60-85; 14/48-173	3	11.2	0.7	4
D	110 (95–125)	txp327; bnl5.40	2.7	10.2	0.8	3
F	35 (20–50)	sbage03; 12/47-545	3.1	11.7	0.9	4
G	110 (90–125)	14/48-316; txp141	2.9	10.9	-0.8	2
Ι	15 (5–20)	txp6; 14/60-343	4.4	16	0.9	4
Ι	150 (145–150)	lgs_Bgu; lgs_Sko	6.4	22.5	1.1	5
Percentage	of genetic variance explained b	y ^f		86.1		
А	170 (160–180)	33/50-561; txp302	4.9	18.8	1.4	4
B1	0 (0–10)	txp201; umc88	5.8	21.9	1.3	5
B2	90 (80–100)	txp296; 14/48-181	5	18.9	1.4	5
С	15 (0-20)	14/48-324; bnl5.37	3.5	14.1	1.1	3
С	70 (55–75)	12/61-313; 12/47-143	2.9	11.3	1	3
Е	55 (50-65)	14/48-338; 14/50-288	2.8	11.1	1.1	2
Е	145 (130–150)	isp 344; cup057	3.6	15.7	-1.4	4
Ι	60 (55–65)	12/61-53; txp145	4.2	16.2	-1.2	5
Ι	150 (145–150	lgs_Bgu; lgs_Sko	12.7	41.5	2.4	5
Percentage of genetic variance explained by ^f 86.1						

269

Set 1, 116 F3:5 lines tested in 1997; set 2, independent sample of 110 F3:5 lines tested in 1998 270 271 ^bLinkage grouped according to Bhattramakki et al.(2000) ^cEmpirical LOD threshold values for QTL significance were 2.78 and 2.90 in sets 1 and 2, 272 respectively (α =0.25);QTL with LOD scores below these thresholds are suggestive 273 ^dAdditive effect: half of the difference between the two homozygotes. Positive values, resistance 274 275 allele was contributed by resistance donorIS9830; negative values, resistance allele was derived striga-susceptible E36-1 276 from parent ^eNumber of calibration runs in which the respective QTL was detected during the fivefold cross-277 278 validation

^f Value corrected for QTL × environment interaction 279

280

This ability to generate and process large amounts of genotypic data may permit large scale 281 282 association mapping studies. Association mapping is based on the linkage disequilibrium (LD)within natural or assembled populations, and has been used by human geneticists to 283 284 associate regions of the human genome with various diseases [57]. The greatest potential use of 285 this technique for plant geneticists and breeders will be the ability to screen populations or 286 collections of germplasm to identify potential QTL and genetic markers for MAS, without using

288	method compared to mapping in experimental populations [57].
289	
290	
291	
292	
293	
294	
295	CONCULUSION
296	Striga resistant sorghum cultivars have not been available until recently, as the complex nature of
297	the host parasite relationship had hampered progress fromselection in field-based breeding. The
298	use of DNA-based markers for the genetic analysis and manipulation of important agronomic
299	traits has become an increasingly useful tool in modern plant breeding. The greatestpotential of
300	molecular markers is to improve precision and toaccelerate selection gain of desirable genotypes
301	of quantitativetrait loci (QTLs) that condition complex important traits. Through MASmarker-
302	assisted selection (MAS), more rapid transferof traits from donor parents to more elite locally-
303	adapted
304	
305	
306	
307	
308	
309	
310	
311	

traditional linkage mapping populations [17]. However, there are some disadvantages of thismethod compared to mapping in experimental populations [57].

313	
314	Reference
315	
316	 ArunaC, VisaradaK, Bhat B V, Tonapi V A. Breeding sorghum for diverse end uses. 2018:
317	Woodhead Publishing.
318	 Mindaye T T,Mace E S,Godwin I D,Jordan D R. Heterosis in locally adapted sorghum
319	genotypes and potential of hybrids for increased productivity in contrasting environments
320	in Ethiopia. The Crop Journal, 2016; 4(6): p. 479-489.
321	 Reddy D,NagabhushanamP,SukhijaB,ReddyA,Smedley P. Fluoride dynamics in the granitic
322	aquifer of the Wailapally watershed, Nalgonda District, India. Chemical Geology, 2010;
323	269(3-4): p. 278-289.
324	 QiuH,HuangJ,YangJ,RozelleS,ZhangY,ZhangY,Zhang Y. Bioethanol development in China
325	and the potential impacts on its agricultural economy. Applied Energy, 2010; 87(1): p. 76-
326	83.
327	 Prakash R,GanesamurthyK,NirmalakumariA,Nagarajan P. Combining ability for fodder yield
328	and its components in Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding,
329	2010; 1(2): p. 124-128.
330	 SpallekT,MutukuM,Shirasu K. The genus S triga: a witch profile. Molecular plant pathology,
331	2013; 14(9): p. 861-869.
332	 Teka H B. Advance research on Striga control: A review. African journal of plant science,
333	2014; 8(11): p. 492-506.
334	8. BabuR,Nair S K,PrasannaB,Gupta H. Integrating marker-assisted selection in crop breeding-
335	prospects and challenges. Current Science, 2004: p. 607-619.
336	9. Dunham
337	I,HuntA,CollinsJ,BruskiewichR,BeareD,ClampM,SminkL,AinscoughR,AlmeidaJ,Babbage
338	A. The DNA sequence of human chromosome 22. Nature, 1999; 402(6761): p. 489-495.
339	 Tuinstra M R,Grote E M,Goldsbrough P B,Ejeta G. Genetic analysis of post-flowering drought
340	tolerance and components of grain development in Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench.
341	Molecular Breeding, 1997; 3(6): p. 439-448.
342 343	11. Ejeta G. Breeding for Striga resistance in sorghum: exploitation of an intricate host-parasite biology. Crop Science, 2007; 47: p. S-216-S-227.
344 345	12. Parker C .Riches C R. Parasitic weeds of the world: biology and control. 1993: CAB international.

- 346 13. YohannesT, AbrahaT, KiambiD, FolkertsmaR, Hash
- T,NgugiK,MutituE,AbrahaN,WeldetsionM,Mugoya C. Marker-assisted introgression
 improves Striga resistance in an eritrean farmer-preferred sorghum variety. Field Crops
 Research, 2015; 173: p. 22-29.
- 14. Varshney R K, ThudiM, May G D, Jackson S A. Legume genomics and breeding. Plant breeding
 reviews, 2010; 33: p. 257-304.
- 15. Haussmann B,HessD,SeetharamaN,WelzH,Geiger H. Construction of a combined sorghum
 linkage map from two recombinant inbred populations using AFLP, SSR, RFLP, and
 RAPD markers, and comparison with other sorghum maps. Theoretical and Applied
 Genetics, 2002; 105(4): p. 629-637.
- 16. ThottappillyG,MagonounaH,Omitogun O. The use of DNA markers for rapid improvement of
 crops in Africa. African Crop Science Journal, 2000; 8(1): p. 99-108.
- RamuP,KassahunB,SenthilvelS,Kumar C A,JayashreeB,FolkertsmaR,Reddy L
 A,KuruvinashettiM,HaussmannB,Hash C T. Exploiting rice–sorghum synteny for targeted
 development of EST-SSRs to enrich the sorghum genetic linkage map. Theoretical and
 Applied Genetics, 2009; 119(7): p. 1193-1204.
- 18. Crouch J H .Ortiz R. Applied genomics in the improvement of crops grown in Africa. African
 journal of biotechnology, 2004; 3(10): p. 489-496.
- Jonah P,BelloL,LuckyO,MidauA,MoruppaS,Moruppa Ω. Review: The importance of
 molecular markers in plant breeding programmes. Global Journal of Science Frontier
 Research, 2011; 11(5): p. 4-12.
- 20. Patil S A,Naik V H,Kulkarni A D,Badami P S. DNA cleavage, antimicrobial, spectroscopic
 and fluorescence studies of Co (II), Ni (II) and Cu (II) complexes with SNO donor
 coumarin Schiff bases. SpectrochimicaActa Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular
 Spectroscopy, 2010; 75(1): p. 347-354.
- 371 21. Xu K,XuX,RonaldP,Mackill D. A high-resolution linkage map of the vicinity of the rice
 372 submergence tolerance locus Sub1. Molecular and General Genetics MGG, 2000; 263(4):
 373 p. 681-689.
- 22. BoivinK,DeuM,Rami J-F,TroucheG,Hamon P. Towards a saturated sorghum map using RFLP
 and AFLP markers. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 1999; 98(2): p. 320-328.
- 376 23. BhattramakkiD,DongJ,Chhabra A K,Hart G E. An integrated SSR and RFLP linkage map of
 377 Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench. Genome, 2000; 43(6): p. 988-1002.
- 24. TuinstraM,GroteE,GoldsbroughP,Ejeta G. Identification of quantitative trait loci associated
 with pre-flowering drought tolerance in sorghum. Crop science, 1996; 36(5): p. 1337-1344.
- RamuP,BillotC,Rami J-F,SenthilvelS,UpadhyayaH,Reddy L A,Hash C T. Assessment of
 genetic diversity in the sorghum reference set using EST-SSR markers. Theoretical and
 Applied Genetics, 2013; 126(8): p. 2051-2064.

С

- 26. Queller D C,Strassmann J E,Hughes C R. Microsatellites and kinship. Trends in ecology &
 evolution, 1993; 8(8): p. 285-288.
- 27. Klein R,Rodriguez-Herrera R,SchlueterJ,KleinP,YuZ,Rooney W. Identification of genomic
 regions that affect grain-mould incidence and other traits of agronomic importance in
 sorghum. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2001; 102(2-3): p. 307-319.
- 28. Kong L,DongJ,Hart G. Characteristics, linkage-map positions, and allelic differentiation of
 Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench DNA simple-sequence repeats (SSRs). Theoretical and
 Applied Genetics, 2000; 101(3): p. 438-448.
- 29. Van Berloo R .Stam P. Comparison between marker-assisted selection and phenotypical
 selection in a set of Arabidopsis thaliana recombinant inbred lines. Theoretical and Applied
 Genetics, 1999; 98(1): p. 113-118.
- 30. Haussmann B,HessD,OmanyaG,FolkertsmaR,ReddyB,KayentaoM,WelzH,Geiger H. Genomic
 regions influencing resistance to the parasitic weed Strigahermonthica in two recombinant
 inbred populations of sorghum. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2004; 109(5): p. 1005 1016.
- 398 31. Groen A .Smith C. A stochastic simulation study of the efficiency of marker-assisted
 introgression in livestock. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics, 1995; 112(1-6): p.
 161-170.
- 32. Visscher P M,Haley C S,Thompson R. Marker-assisted introgression in backcross breeding
 programs. Genetics, 1996; 144(4): p. 1923-1932.
- 33. Frisch M,BohnM,Melchinger A E. Comparison of selection strategies for marker-assisted
 backcrossing of a gene. Crop Science, 1999; 39(5): p. 1295-1301.
- 34. Hauck C,MüllerS,Schildknecht H. A germination stimulant for parasitic flowering plants from
 Sorghum bicolor, a genuine host plant. Journal of Plant Physiology, 1992; 139(4): p. 474407 478.
- 35. Müller S,HauckC,Schildknecht H. Germination stimulants produced by Vigna unguiculata
 Walp cv Saunders Upright. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation, 1992; 11(2): p. 77-84.
- 36. Siame B A,WeerasuriyaY,WoodK,EjetaG,Butler L G. Isolation of strigol, a germination
 stimulant for Strigaasiatica, from host plants. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry,
 1993; 41(9): p. 1486-1491.
- 37. Babiker A G T,EjetaG,Butler L G,Woodson W R. Ethylene biosynthesis and strigol-induced
 germination of Strigaasiatica. PhysiologiaPlantarum, 1993; 88(2): p. 359-365.
- 415 38. Haussmann B,HessD,ReddyB,MukuruS,SeetharamaN,KayentaoM,WelzH,Geiger H. QTL for
- 416 Striga resistance in sorghum populations derived from IS 9830 and N 13. in Breeding for
- 417 Striga resistance in cereals. Proceedings of a Workshop, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria. 1999.

418	39. Frick E,FrahneD,Wegmann K. Biochemical Synthesis of 2, 6-Dimethoxy-Para-Benzoquinone-
419	A Haustorial Stimulant of StrigaAsiatica (L.) Kuntze. Natural Product Letters, 1996; 9(2):
420	p. 153-159.
421	40. KapulnikY, Delaux P-M, ResnickN, Mayzlish-GatiE, WiningerS, BhattacharyaC, Séjalon-
422	DelmasN,Combier J-P,BécardG,Belausov E. Strigolactones affect lateral root formation
423	and root-hair elongation in Arabidopsis. Planta, 2011; 233(1): p. 209-216.
424	41. Johnson A,RoseberyG,Parker C. A novel approach to Striga and Orobanche control using
425	synthetic germination stimulants. Weed Research, 1976; 16(4): p. 223-227.
426	42. Ruyter-
427	SpiraC,KohlenW,CharnikhovaT,vanZeijlA,vanBezouwenL,deRuijterN,CardosoC,Lopez-
428	Raez J A, Matusova R, Bours R. Physiological effects of the synthetic strigolactone analog
429	GR24 on root system architecture in Arabidopsis: another belowground role for
430	strigolactones? Plant physiology, 2011; 155(2): p. 721-734.

- 431 43. KorenD,ResnickN,Gati E M,BelausovE,WeiningerS,KapulnikY,Koltai H. Strigolactone
 432 signaling in the endodermis is sufficient to restore root responses and involves SHORT
 433 HYPOCOTYL 2 (SHY2) activity. New Phytologist, 2013; 198(3): p. 866-874.
- 434 44. Berhan A M,HulbertS,ButlerL,Bennetzen J. Structure and evolution of the genomes
 435 ofsorghum bicolor andZea mays. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 1993; 86(5): p. 598436 604.
- 437 45. Hulbert S H,Richter T E,Axtell J D,Bennetzen J L. Genetic mapping and characterization of
 438 sorghum and related crops by means of maize DNA probes. Proceedings of the National
 439 Academy of Sciences, 1990; 87(11): p. 4251-4255.
- 46. WhitkusR,DoebleyJ,Lee M. Comparative genome mapping of Sorghum and maize. Genetics,
 1992; 132(4): p. 1119-1130.
- 442 47. Chittenden L,SchertzK,LinY,Wing R A,Paterson A. A detailed RFLP map of Sorghum bicolor
 443 x S. propinquum, suitable for high-density mapping, suggests ancestral duplication of
 444 Sorghum chromosomes or chromosomal segments. Theoretical and Applied Genetics,
 445 1994; 87(8): p. 925-933.
- 446 48. DufourP,DeuM,GrivetL,D'HontA,PauletF,BouetA,LanaudC,Glaszmann J-C,Hamon P.
 447 Construction of a composite sorghum genome map and comparison with sugarcane, a
 448 related complex polyploid. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 1997; 94(3-4): p. 409-418.
- 449 49. VosP,HogersR,BleekerM,ReijansM,Lee T v d,HornesM,FritersA,PotJ,PalemanJ,Kuiper M.
 450 AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic acids research, 1995; 23(21): p.
 451 4407-4414.
- 50. Xu X-P .Needleman A. Numerical simulations of fast crack growth in brittle solids. Journal of
 the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 1994; 42(9): p. 1397-1434.

- 454 51. MenzM,KleinR,MulletJ,ObertJ,UnruhN,Klein P. A high-density genetic map of Sorghum
 455 bicolor (L.) Moench based on 2926 AFLP®, RFLP and SSR markers. Plant molecular
 456 biology, 2002; 48(5-6): p. 483-499.
- 457 52. Bowers J E,NambeesanS,CorbiJ,Barker M S,Rieseberg L H,Knapp S J,Burke J M.
 458 Development of an ultra-dense genetic map of the sunflower genome based on single459 feature polymorphisms. PLoS One, 2012; 7(12): p. e51360.
- 460 53. Pereira M .Lee M. Identification of genomic regions affecting plant height in sorghum and
 461 maize. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 1995; 90(3-4): p. 380-388.
- 462 54. Paterson A H,Lin Y-R,LiZ,Schertz K F,Doebley J F,Pinson S R,Liu S-C,Stansel J W,Irvine J
 463 E. Convergent domestication of cereal crops by independent mutations at corresponding
 464 genetic loci. Science, 1995; 269(5231): p. 1714-1718.
- 465 55. NagarajN,Reese J C,Tuinstra M R,Smith C M,Amand P S,KirkhamM,Kofoid K D,Campbell L
 466 R,Wilde G E. Molecular mapping of sorghum genes expressing tolerance to damage by
 467 greenbug (Homoptera: Aphididae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 2005; 98(2): p. 595468 602.
- 56. VoglerR,EjetaG,Butler L. Inheritance of low production of Striga germination stimulant in
 sorghum. Crop science, 1996; 36(5): p. 1185-1191.
- 57. Collins A R .Ferguson L R. Nutrition and carcinogenesis. Mutation Research/Fundamental and
 Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis, 2004; 551(1-2): p. 1-8.
- 473