

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_75646
Title of the Manuscript:	An analysis on the application of Herbal Nanotechnology in treating Cancer cell
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript represents good idea in on the application of Herbal Nanotechnology in treating Cancer cell - it wright good It grammatically excellent	
Minor REVISION comments	Where diagram or table for result	
Optional/General comments	These studies revealed potential advantages of nanotechnology in retardation of cancer cells as well as applications of herbal nano technology in retardation of cancer cells.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sherin Manaa
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt