



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_56297
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of BARI Bush Bean-2 in different levels of nitrogen fertilization
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Overall, the manuscript is written well. The author(s) plan a very scheduled research work on an identified problem. But I have few queries about the research work, one is that the experiment was laid down in 2008 and a very few charters are observed during the course of investigation, so author(s) have to decide the utility of this investigation in present time.</p> <p>Topic and Key words: Response of Bush Bean (BARI-2) in to different levels of nitrogen fertilization</p> <p>Abstract: Summarized well.</p> <p>Introduction: Introduce the problem correctly.</p> <p>Methods and Material: Describe the methods and material used during the course of investigation appropriately.</p> <p>Results and discussion: Result and discussion are conspired accurately. But a very few characters had taken in consideration.</p> <p>Conclusion: Decision well supported and may be established.</p> <p>References: Correctly matched.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Author(s) if required add some more characters of the plant which have recorded during the course of investigation. We find only observations only on plant pod.	
Optional/General comments	Correct the minor grammatical mistakes and editing of the manuscript.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virendra Singh
Department, University & Country	IFTM University, India