



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_52650
Title of the Manuscript:	Audit on roadside Accident Cases and severity happening in Indore city, presenting to MYH Casualty, Indore
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract should not include references. They should be indicated in the Introduction or Discussion. 2. There are paper citations in the body of the text that is not included in the References. 3. The purpose of this study is not clear. Suggestion: indicate the importance of this study for government intervention. 4. The content of Discussion represents what should be inside the Results of this study. There are no paper citations in Discussion that could support the content. Suggestion: rewrite discussion comparing the data with other similar papers mentioning if these data are supported or not to other study. 5. The content of the Conclusion presents some parameters that were not discussed in the study. Suggestion: after keep clear the purpose of this study, the conclusion should answer the reason of the development of the study. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Keywords should be in accordance to Medical Subject Headings (MeSH). 2. First abbreviation should be followed the full words. Ex. Road traffic accident (RTA) 3. References indicated are too old. Last 5 years would be preferable. 4. Graphs 1: the abscissas must indicate age range. 5. Change "&" for "and". 6. References: it should be ordered according to their citation in the body of the text. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Rodrigo Tadashi Martines</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>UNISA - Campus II, Brazil</i>