



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_68255
Title of the Manuscript:	Pelvic Fracture as a Risk Factor for Posterior Urethral Rupture in Patients at dr. Moewardi Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article name of Pelvic Fracture as a Risk Factor for Posterior Urethral Rupture in Patients at dr. Moewardi Hospital" is well discussed.	
Minor REVISION comments	To support the study, examples of pelvic fracture pictures and urethral rupture pictures can be given in the article.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramazan Karabulut
Department, University & Country	Gazi University, Turkey