



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_68255
Title of the Manuscript:	Pelvic Fracture as a Risk Factor for Posterior Urethral Rupture in Patients at dr. Moewardi Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract section Introduction: Urethral rupture are → is a common complication on→ in patients Methods: We conducted a → an analytic retrospective study Results and Discussion: no place for discussion in the abstract at al, only results 14 patients (29%) are → were in the age range And founded→ and fond Conclusion: Single rami fracture are → single ramus fracture was the most pelvical fracture</p> <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>No citation for references in the introduction text was done at al</p> <p>METHODS:</p> <p>No of patients should be mentioned here</p> <p>Many repeated sentences e.g. at RSUD Dr. Moewardi Surakarta from January 2012 - December 2018. Data was taken from the medical records of inpatients at Dr. Moewardi Surakarta from January 2012 to December 2018.</p> <p>The inclusion criteria in this study were male pelvic fracture patients with posterior urethral rupture and no posterior urethral rupture who were hospitalized in Dr. Moewardi in 2012-2018 (so better to write with or without posterior urethral rupture)</p> <p>The research data obtained will be → were analyzed</p> <p>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>During the period (from) January 2012 to December 2018</p> <p>You did not compare your results with other researches and if you are agreed or disagreed with them yet you listed 20 references at the end of your manuscript</p> <p>CONCLUSSION</p> <p>Single rami fracture and Ipsilateral ramus are risk factors for incidence (causing) posterior urethral rupture</p> <p>Fracture of four ramus (rami) and malgaigne's pubis is → are not risk factors</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Your study is epidemiological rather than clinical and you did not add new things to the already known facts</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Alaa Al-Deen Al-Dabbagh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Mustansiriyah Medical College, Iraq</i>