



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRHE_66077
Title of the Manuscript:	Endovascular Management of Budd Chiari Syndrome - A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper is interesting and well written. I believe represents a novel contribution to the literature, by examining the clinical experience of Budd Chiari Syndrome. However, I have concerns that dampen my enthusiasm for the paper. However I believe with substantial effort these concerns could be addressed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstracts – it seems like you are developed a document for seminar presentation than a case presentation. Please incorporate statement indicating about the case with vital clinical presentation. - References seem ideally stated or there is no citation. Authors must follow the journal guidelines to cite the paper again. - Your study has no conclusion. - In the last statement of discussion, how do you managed to state stenting as a fristline for this case? Is it from the basic science of case management or your novel finding? If, this has to be stated in comparison with previous finding? - With reference to Mancuso M. 2015 [6] currently a new management algorithm was suggested with the aim of preventing liver failure. Please conceptualize this in your discussion to make it more robust. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A number of figures have to be reduced. I.e.Fig.3 and 4 can be merged. - It is recommended to check the paper again according to the journal writing rules. - Be consistent with the use of symbols and spaces throughout. 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammedamin Hajure Jarso
Department, University & Country	Mettu University, Ethiopia