



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_53966
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the knowledge of a diabetic patient population on the health of their feet
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ABSTRACT: Aim: To assess the knowledge of diabetic patients on what? The aim is not properly captured. It should reflect the topic. Methodology: Correct the number of women to 56 Conclusion: It should tell whether or not diabetic patients have a good knowledge on the health of their feet. Line 4: September, 2019 is a date. Replace it with the appropriate duration. Study design: "Original research papers" is not a study design. Please include the appropriate phrase or clause. 2. INTRODUCTION: Too short. It does not capture the justification of the study. Please add more write up. 3. CONCLUSION: This should capture whether or not the diabetic population has a good knowledge on the health of their feet as this was the aim of the study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Keywords: Correct the spelling of "Knowledge" 2. Section 2.1: Line 1: Begin the spelling of "Department" with capital letter 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Justina Nwandimma Nwangwa
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria