Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_75613
Title of the Manuscript:	A Multi-Component Cognitive Stimulation Program among Older People with Dementia in Day Care Centers of Taiwan: A Pilot Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please correct the word "ABSTEACT" into "ABSTRACT".	
	In table 1, Its better to provide 5 th column beside column for type of test (t-test/X ²) to present the P-value of significance, instead you provide it with asterisks.	
	Please explain briefly something about the coefficients of determinations R ² , and adjusted coeff. of determination.	
	Please remove the legend with asterisk in the bottom of the table 3: **, p < .01; *, p < 0.05 I do not see any asterisk between results in both columns.	
	In the bottom of the table, please explain the used abbreviations: MMSE, CSDD, CAPE-BRS, ADLs, Please provide fourth column beside Post-test for P-value of significance of difference	
	between results from Pre-test and Post-test. Provide and p-value of significance of difference between experimental and comparison group, too, for every variables: MMSE, CSDD< CAPE-BRS, ADLs, Feeding, Dressing, Grooming and Washing.	
	2.4. Data analysis Please provide a appropriate citation for the used statistical software: (IBM Corp. Released 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) Logistic regression with such a small sample (n =14) is impossible and does not have sufficient statistical power.	
	In the tables, in the title of the columns beside variables, you not need to provide, example: Experimental group Mean (SD)/%. Please provide only the name of group, Experimental group. In the bottom of the tables provide legend: "The results are presented as Mean and standard deviation (SD) and percent (%).	
	The representative sample of studied patients, both experimental and comparison group is too small. 14 subjects in the experimental group do not have the characteristics of a minimum sample necessary to make statistically significant findings.	
	I do not see any results from logistic regression. Please provide it. The paper needs a complete revision of the statistics. The obtained results are not enough to draw conclusions about predictor impact, but only perceive the differences between the variables.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Petar Avramovski
Department, University & Country	Rectorate of the University St. Clement of Ohrid, North Macedonia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)