

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_73017
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of community acquired pneumonia due to Mycoplasma pneumoniae with pneumonia due to non-Mycoplasma pneumoniae agents.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript describes the incidence of Mycoplasma infections and CAP. The pathogenesis of M. pneumoniae infection is complicated, and the specific mechanism remains to be elucidated. M. pneumoniae makes close contact with the respiratory epithelium via firm attachment to the organelle or P1 proteins. The tight host-pathogen interactions within the respiratory epithelium lead to a direct injury by cytotoxic effects, local inflammation, host immune system stimulation, and sometimes extrapulmonary manifestations.</p> <p>The authors made comparisons of the incidence of CAP and infections caused by mycoplasma pneumoniae and pulmonary infections caused by other agents.</p> <p>The manuscript contains a series of articles carried out between 2014 and 2016 in a hospital environment.</p> <p>The caustics are out of date and compromise the current analyses already described in the literature.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The presentation of results and percentages should be organized, the form of presentation is confusing.</p> <p>Organize the presentation and formatting of tables.</p> <p>Figures and graphics should have their resolution improved, as well as descriptive captions of the information presented.</p> <p>Figure – 2 PCR- P1- Mycoplasma should be improved and the description of the lines and samples in the legend</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Casuistics in the study presented comprise a period of 5 years ago, reviewing comparative statistical analyzes and updating the current bibliography.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durvanei Augusto Maria
Department, University & Country	University São Paulo, Brazil