



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_56003
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge towards Prevention and Management of Dengue: A Cross-Sectional study among Dental, Medical and Pharmacy Students in a Private University, Malaysia.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The English needs improvements. I provided some suggestions in the text. In addition I urge the authors to be consistent with the use of terms. The order of the introduction was scattered. Further please distinguish</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -the pathogen (dengue virus) , -the infection with the pathogen (infection with dengue virus or dengue virus infection. - the disease (dengue or dengue fever or severe dengue) 	
Optional/General comments	<p>I would have liked it if the " direction" of the right answer of the questions would be randomized. Meaning that there were various versions of the questionnaires with the similar topics questioned but that the correct answer to the question could be either yes in the one and in the other with no. In this way the bias to the right answer could be eliminated or at least randomized.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Marieta Braks</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, Netherlands</i>