



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_57556
Title of the Manuscript:	Source-sink potential of maize inbreds grown in rainfed condition
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The writing quality is good; but there are many grammatical errors and sentence structure problems.</p> <p>2. How experiment has been done should be mentioned in method portion, and it should be very clear.</p> <p>2. Conclusion and abstract part requested for revision and should be more clear.</p> <p>4. All listed reference should be as per the reference format and cross check the all listed references should be cited in text or vice-versa, and try to incorporate the latest relevant studies. (See Journal format for reference Style; all reference should be in one format).</p> <p>5. Whole Manuscript should be once thoroughly checked (line by line) and check spellings also.</p> <p>6. In introduction portion more recent information need to be added.</p> <p>8. Check all the units, units Should be in one format.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>Remarks to the author / Chief editor :</b></p> <p><b>The author have shown a lot of efforts to make this manuscript and this should be well appreciated. The author should correct all my mentioned comments if author revised the manuscript and correct all comments then I recommend the current form can be accpeted for publication without further modification.</b></p> <p>For more reviewer comments see the manuscript file</p>	



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Sandeep Kumar Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Banaras Hindu University, India</b>