



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_57731
Title of the Manuscript:	ADERMATOGLYPHIA: Challenges and Prospects in diagnosis
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is of great interest. However, there are a few items that must be adjusted:</p> <p>1) The author guidelines (http://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/general-guideline-for-authors) advise a length of 5000-9000 words, (including 50-150 references plus 3-5 figures and/or tables (if required) for Review papers, and a length of 3000-6000 words, (including 30-70 references plus 2-3 figures and/or tables (if required) for Mini-review papers. This paper is 1354 words-length and includes 15 references only.</p> <p>2) The abstract shows no key-words; the author guidelines state that about 4-8 keywords should be given immediately after the abstract.</p> <p>3) The style of the references does not meet the standards proposed by the author guidelines. Each reference should be checked and formatted accordingly.</p> <p>4) No acknowledgements have been included;</p> <p>5) No declaration of competing interests has been included.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Fábio André Castilha</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Rio De Janeiro Federal University, Brazil</i>