SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_64295
Title of the Manuscript:	Extracting a Bounded Region from a Map Using Flood Fill Algorithm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		,
	This paper proposes the extracting noise-free images from a bounded region with Map Using Flood Fill Algorithm, but the quality of this paper needs further improvement to be considered for publication. The detailed comments are listed as below:	
	 The authors clearly presented the flow of the paper by organizing the content in proper way. The concept/methodology proposed in this paper is straightforward, and seems didn't have much research value. 	
	 The problem on the extracting noise-free images have been receiving many discussion in recent years. What is the specialty of this paper raised by the authors? The authors should highlight the research gap from the previous study. Some 	
	comparison results are required. The authors should present results by taking different inputs and they have to tell how much efficiency they achieved compared to previous studies.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	The authors should present results by taking different inputs.	
Optional/General comments	In my opinion, the authors should pay little more attention about literature review. They have to explain more about novelty of this paper.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N.Sharmili
Department, University & Country	GVP College of Engineering for Women, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)