

SDI Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRCOS_52378 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | On the Solutions of The Big Data Timeliness Problem |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the write his/her feedback h |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | The work done is interesting, well presented, and in general, presents a good originality and proposal both methodological and practical. However, I believe that there are some aspects that should be resolved before they can be published: - The introduction includes quite a few references to related work that should be moved, in an orderly and effective way, to a new section 2, which suffers from a complete systematic review of solutions similar to the one proposed by the authors. In general, I believe that this systematic review of other similar proposals should also be used as a common thread in the evaluation, comparing the results in specific scenarios obtained by the authors' proposal and others existing in the academic world. - The evaluation should include a much more detailed description of the sample to be studied, with its descriptive characteristics. - It would include a discussion section on the threats to the validation carried out (internal, external threats, etc.) in order to increase the validity of this section. - The conclusions are too brief. I think the authors can improve this part. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and he manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should k here)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed w that part in the manuscript. It is r feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | David Lizcano |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | Madrid Open University, Spain |

l with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her