## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_60064
Title of the Manuscript:	Late recanalization of patent ductus arteriosus after complete closure by paracetamol in a critical preterm infant.
Type of the Article	

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I congratulate the authors on management of a such a sick baby. These are my suggestions	
	Introduction – late preterm is wrongly used for a baby born at 32 weeker.lt may be changed?	
	Was PDA closure documented on day 4 ? It is not mentioned.	
	Was PDA hemodynamically significant? What parameters were monitored?	
	What was the course of the infant between 14- 33 <sup>rd</sup> day.	
	Why was paracetamol considered so late?(Any justification maybe provided)	
	Discussion needs to involve various available options for late PDA closure	
	Figures need description .	
	The ECG needs to be as separate figure and needs to be described in the text	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Spelling & grammar need revision	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Bharathi Balachander
Department, University & Country	St. John's Medical College, Bengaluru, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)