



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_50255
Title of the Manuscript:	CONNATE MYXEDEMA- AN INADEQUATE THYROID HORMONE PRODUCTION IN NEWBORN INFANTS
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) References are too old, replace with more recent work 2) The paper lacks scientific writing, no coherency in English language, see highlighted sections in the text 3) The action of the statement in line 42 in text refers to self but the reference refers to Karin et al., 2002 (reference no.3) 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Written consent obtained from patient caretakers not the parents of the child?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Ethical approval should come from the patients parent or guardian</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eworo, Raymond E.
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria