



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_64964
Title of the Manuscript:	Facilitators and Barriers to Breastfeeding in Asian American Women: A Review of Literature
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The theme of this paper is a good one, I must compliment the authors on that.</p> <p>Chinese, Korean, Cambodian and Indian are the communities mentioned and the reader is given to expect that the review will look into these four communities. However, among these, very little information is given on the Indian community. And the others also have piecemeal info.</p> <p>I do understand that the articles looked into may not have looked into the same parameters, perhaps it would be better to mention that clearly where appropriate, as otherwise, the reader comes off with a feeling of having been given only incomplete or minimal information. Or the authors can opt to stick to only whichever communities they have looked into in detail</p> <p>Table 2 – the heading needs to be reworked.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In the interest of clarity, I have made language suggestions in comment boxes in the manuscript itself</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Preetha Paul</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>The TN Dr MGR Medical University, India</i></b>