



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJORR_51667</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Case Report: A rare case of Intra-Articular Cavernous Hemangioma of the Knee</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case study</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Case Report: A rare case of Intra- Articular Cavernous Hemangioma of the Knee</b></p> <p>I read this manuscript and I think it could be an acceptable text if certain aspects are clarified and corrected. The subject is interesting. In any case, I congratulate the authors for their effort. I suggest that, please, the authors verify the following comments:</p> <p><b>-Discussion:</b> It should be understood as the presentation of infrequent or rare case. The author could mention something more about how this case can help the understanding of a more important issue, or remember certain messages, or motivate the search for more information. It should be shown that this "anecdotal" publication is an important teaching tool. The aim of the publication of clinical cases is basically educational, author should keep in mind the message he wants to communicate and whether this knowledge will be useful to readers. Perhaps it may represent a description of a sentinel event; this may be a warning to put on alert for similar situations; and/or stimulate the generation of other studies.</p> <p><b>-References:</b> Review, please, the rules of the Journal.</p> <p><b>-Keywords:</b> For keywords the list of Health Sciences Descriptors terms should be used (Medical Subject Headings, MeSH) of Index Medicus (available in <a href="https://meshb.nlm.nih.gov/search">https://meshb.nlm.nih.gov/search</a>)</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jose Luis Turabian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Spain</b>