



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_62634
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantification of Piperine by TLC densitometer method and forced degradation study in a Classical Ayurvedic formulation-Trikatu Churna
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Well-grounded work, the calibration curves and the degradation tests were satisfactory and the stability was attested. The method was validated and approved according to the proposed methodology.	
Minor REVISION comments	Has any other method of extracting the compound been tested?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Charlini Balastreri Dorta De Oliveira
Department, University & Country	Federal Institute Of Paraná (IFPR), Brazil