SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_62513
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Analysis for Different Samples of Fats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	I find some merit in the paper and the article is an interesting. However, it is necessary to make some changes to be published. - Spell error free MS before submitting to Journal. - Materials and methods are too long. Only refer to method. It is not necessary to refer to the preparation of solutions. For example, you can write: The estimation of peroxide number in the fat samples was determined according to the method of Champaign [1]. Then refer to equation. - In results section: you should be selected to illustrate your recorded data either in tables or in figures, not both. - Discussion: It is not appropriate with your results (where is the discussion; compare your results with researchers' results in this field). - Write your recommendation in conclusion.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Statistical results	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hala Ali Abdel Salam Saleh
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)