### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_55607
Title of the Manuscript:	Biosynthesis of Gold and Silver Nanoparticles and their applications
Type of the Article	REVIEW ARTICLE

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)	
Compulsory REVISION comments		which have recuback here)	
	<ul> <li>Even if the abstract contains more informations about obtaining nanoparticles from plants, only a few lines are written in the text. Thus, I think that this subject should be insisted on, mentioning among other informations, the compounds that participate in the process of obtaining and examples from the literature.</li> <li>Regarding the characterization part of the nanoparticles, the first title is about the microscopic methods, and the first paragraph contains more informations about UV spectroscopy, which is not mentioned.</li> <li>The applications are very poorly presented.</li> <li>In the bibliography, the references are not presented in the same manner. The bibliography is old, out of the 50 references, only 5 are from the last 10 years. Also, there are references that are repeated, one of them even 4 times, with different numbers.</li> </ul>		
Minor REVISION comments			
Optional/General comments			
	Being a review, more details and examples on the topics addressed and a majority bibliography of the last 5 years to keep up to date the readers, are necessary		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Corciova Andreia	
Department, University & Country	University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vietnam	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)