SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	
	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_65928
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of BOEY and PULP (Peptic Ulcer Perforation) SCORE in Assessing Post OP 30-Day Mortality in Gastric Perforation Patients at Moewardi Hospital, Surakarta
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	the article is clear and interesting from a clinical point of view	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)