



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_65928
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of BOEY and PULP (Peptic Ulcer Perforation) SCORE in Assessing Post OP 30-Day Mortality in Gastric Perforation Patients at Moewardi Hospital, Surakarta
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Article has been well drafted and has some precise details.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Only revision needed would be to looking at editing the length of the article and reducing the extra details mentioned in order to make it a precise read for students and academicians.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Harsh R. Mehta
Department, University & Country	Maharashtra University Of Health Sciences, India