

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_74016
Title of the Manuscript:	The Selectivity of Purse Seine Against Tuna Kawakawa ( <i>Euthynnus affinis</i> ) Catch in PPN Muara Angke Jakarta
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript aims to examine the selectivity of purse seine vessels based on criteria of environmentally friendly fishing gear used by the Ministry of Marine Affairs and - 9 criteria. Authors have evaluated the selectivity of purse seine fishing gears towards E. Affinis species from fishing vessels operating at PPN Muara Angke, Jakarta. The following points are to be attended to for improving the quality of the manuscript and in order to make it suitable for publication :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Clearly specify the method/technique which was used to draw the conclusion. Its mentioned that survey method was used to collect data and information. In addition to that descriptive analysis like frequency table and % analysis were used for determining the selectivity. Authors have to mention in Methods section these techniques also</li><li>2. The primary data was collected from 5 fishing vessels for a period of 6 months. Find whether there was any difference in mean lengths and numbers caught of fishes during various months</li><li>3. Mention the total number of purse seiners operating along PPN Muara Angke and whether sample size is adequate?</li><li>4. Some formulae need correction like the one given for <math>P_{\text{Bycatch}}</math>. Authors are advised to check all the formulae</li><li>5. Calculate the % of tuna catch in main catch and present it in the Table to highlight the importance of tuna landings. This will add strength to the study as it is seen that 11% of the main catch is tuna which is considerable.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Check the spelling in the whole document using spell checker and do the needful changes</li><li>2. The formulas can be typed using Equation editor in Word for more clarity</li><li>3. The English language usage and grammar needs improvement</li><li>4. References to be given in proper format and with clarity</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally the manuscript requires improvement in language, grammar, formatting, rephrasing of headings, table & graph headings to be specific and clear	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>V Geethalakshmi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>ICAR-Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, India</i>