SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_61436
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF MUTIARA CATFISH (Clarias gariepinnus) WHICH COMBINE BY COMMERCIAL FEED AND MAGGOT BLACK SOLDIER FLY (Hemetia illucens)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
	I recommend writing clearly the reference that was used in the paper.	
	If it is a book, the page, Publishing house should be placed, if it is taken from the internet, a link.	
	Pay attention to the reference:	
	Effendi, M. I. 1997. Fisheries Biology. Yayasan Pustaka Nusatama Yogyakarta.	
	Djarijah, A.S. 1995. <i>Natural Feed.</i> Kanisius. Yogyakarta. pp 87 . (In Indonesian language)	
	Also some references, quatations from the next are not found in the bibliography.	
	Karim (2002)	
	Kordi (2011),	
Optional/General comments		
<u></u>	Congratulations to the author and the journal for the paper, which is very good, with minor	
	objections.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihaela Munteanu Pila
Department, University & Country	University of Galati, Romania

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)