



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_54784
Title of the Manuscript:	Perifiton Community Structure in Citarik River
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The ABSTRACT is not written to the style of the journal.</p> <p>The Fig. 1 should be located in the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION in some location to the beginning of the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.</p> <p>The CONCLUSION could be more inclusive because the authors present a large amount of data/observations.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The FIGURES and TABLES are well prepared and informative.</p> <p>The FIGURES are very helpful.</p> <p>This is an interesting and informative paper.</p> <p>After the minor revisions listed above the paper can be published.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ronald Bartzatt</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Nebraska, USA</i>