



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_50808
Title of the Manuscript:	Handline Capture Device Efficiency in Rural Kayubulan Subdistrict Batudaa Gorontalo Province Indonesia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have read very carefully and I found this is a good scientific manuscript. However, the abstract needs to be more informative and to the point. There is a need to update the introduction, more details about the methods, the results should be clear and to the point and the discussion must compare the results obtained with most recent and relevant work published recently.	
Minor REVISION comments	Please adhere to the journal guidelines.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed EL. Sayed Megahed
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries , Egypt