



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_68351
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF AUDIOVISUAL AIDS ON THE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF NURSERY SCHOOLS PUPILS IN ALPHABETS READING IN SOKOTO METROPOLIS, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>In fact, there is no need to compare learning achievement between using or not using audio-visual aids. Research results can easily identify results that the group using audio-visual aids must have different learning achievement than those who did not use them. If the researcher wants to compare learning achievement, you should use audio-visual aids that clearly state teaching material. For example, comparing the use of e-book with the motion graphics, etc., to study which media that the samples groups could learn most effectively. Then use the research results as a guideline for development of appropriate teaching materials.</p> <p>1. Please clearly define, what is the term of AUDIOVISUAL AIDS in this research? Because the meaning is inclusive. In addition, the nature of each AUDIOVISUAL AIDS is different, and the exact absence of AUDIOVISUAL AIDS will malfunction the research.</p> <p>2. Please state why New Dawn and Nagarta College were selected as the experimental group and the control group. For example, the schools with the lowest grades or the schools with the largest number of students in Sokoto metropolis.</p> <p>3. Please state the quality of the Achievement form: the statistical value of content validity, difficulty, and discrimination.</p> <p>4. Please correct the mean score of all calculation tables. 2 decimals are required, for example 2: 2.00 is required.</p> <p>5. Please summarize under the table1 and 2 that, Has the researcher accepted or rejected the hypothesis?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>1. Recommendation's writing must show the researcher's vision that can be used to guide the next research of readers interested in the development of educational technology.</p> <p>2. If possible, study the world's trends in educational technology over the next 10 years to give the article readers to imagining with the researcher's vision. Example: The educational technology stories from https://www.educause.edu. Or https://www.techlearning.com.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Writing should be adapted to be more academic language.</p> <p>2. Choose one of the terms "audiovisual / audio-visual" must be the same for the entire paper.</p> <p>3. Today, educational technology is developing rapidly. The reference list should be adjusted to be no older than 2016.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Metee Pigultong Rajamangala
Department, University & Country	University of Technology Thanyaburi, Thailand