



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_68351
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF AUDIOVISUAL AIDS ON THE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF NURSERY SCHOOLS PUPILS IN ALPHABETS READING IN SOKOTO METROPOLIS, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The writer(s) could indicate the kind of research design that was used to arrive at the conclusion <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The introduction has some authoritative statements which need to be supported by authorities. <p>Statement of problem</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> There have been so many studies on the effects of teaching and learning materials on academic performance. What is the gap in knowledge that this research would like to fill. This essential aspect seems to be missing in the statement of problem. “Upon all the factors listed, the writers of this paper are of the opinion that inadequacy and lack of utilization of teaching aids/material is among the leading factors that lead to poor academic performances, especially in the nursery and primary schools”. If the writers were already of the view that inadequate and lack of utilization of teaching aids is a leading factor to poor academic performance, is it necessary to undertake the investigation again? That statement looks conclusive and needs to be reframed. What is the situation of teaching alphabets reading among nursery school pupils in Sokoto metropolis that calls for investigation? The statement of problem should equally capture this. <p>Research questions</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The writers should avoid yes or no research questions <p>Method</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The writers should indicate the type of research design adopted by the study and why the choice of that design. This is missing in the write-up. <p>Sample and Sampling Technique</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> What is the population from which the sample was drawn from and what is the total sample and why that sample? Why did the writers use purposive sampling? <p>They need to provide answers to these questions in the write-up</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The recommendations section is too short and generic 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Either the objectives or research questions should be deleted 	
Optional/General comments	Acceptable with this revision	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. The writers did not indicated how ethical issues were addressed	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jacob Issaka
Department, University & Country	Jasikan College of Education, Ghana