SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_66895
Title of the Manuscript:	The Indian Antelope Nilgai (Boselaphus tragocamelus) Appropriate Candidate for Domestication could add in Human Society
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	- The subject of the manuscript is of great importance for the place where the study and data collection was evaluated and conducted;	
	- In some parts it lacks scientific basis, but it does not affect the conduct of the article;	
	- Needs to go through an English language review before being published;	
	- Image quality needs to be improved;	
	- Some details are highlighted in the text in the attached file, it is up to the authors and other reviewers to decide whether or not to accept them;	
Minor REVISION comments		
	- Highlighted in the attached file;	
Optional/General comments		
	I suggest, before final acceptance, to go through a review of the areas of biology and animal welfare. This is very important;	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gustavo Cauduro Cadore
Department, University & Country	Universidade de Santa Cruz do Sul (UNISC), Brasil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)