



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_65715
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical and mineral analyses by ICP-AES and AAS of soils under litter of Blighia welwitschii (Hiern) Radlk, Oncoba welwitschii (Oliv.) Gilgn, Zanthoxylum gillettii (De wild) and Harungana madagascariensis Lam. ex Poir. in Luki Biosphere Reserve, DR Congo.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please translate the notes in Image 1 into English. 2. The sampling methods should be detailed. i.e. How many soil carrots were collected in each sampling plot? How many plots were selected under each plant site? What's the sampling area? Did the sampling plots in each area be taken randomly? 3. Was mineral analysis for soil or the plant? Why 0.3 g of plant powder was analysed in 2.2.3? 4. The significance of the study should be further discussed. Especially the significance of mineral analysis and its application in ecology. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comma and decimal were mixed in the manuscript. 2. It would be better to combine the Figure 2~5 into one figure and the Figure 6~9 into another one. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It seemed that the names of four plant were mentioned too many times in the manuscript. 2. It is suggested that some updated references should be cited. 	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nan Shi
Department, University & Country	Hebei University, China