



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_51434
Title of the Manuscript:	Rainwater harvesting - a safety net for water security in Ghana
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	An interesting paper to inform policy makers, opinion leaders, stakeholders and the public about the importance of rainwater harvesting and integrated water resource management in the midst of climate change. The paper gives results for the country Ghana only general discussions on issues. There are adequate number and quality of references.	
Minor REVISION comments	The overall idea is fine. The topic of this paper is relevant, timely, and of interest to the audience of this journal. The abstract is very concise and sufficient. It should be described more precisely how authors proceed for to finding support and significance effect of different measures in Ghana for rain water harvesting and management. The supporting evidence in this paper is reliable. The results are interpreted without helping of tables, graphs, etc. and for this reason conclusions are not so sound.	
Optional/General comments	For results and discussion are used only verbal statement of known facts. It'll be useful describe how the methodology should be used in real cases. Figures and tables missing at all. The paper is easy to read, I did not see grammatical errors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zuzana Vranayova
Department, University & Country	Technical University of Kosice, Slovakia