



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_63612
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors affecting perceived overall job satisfaction: An exploratory analysis on public bank employees of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The abstract should cover the importance of topic.</li> <li>2. The introduction should contain some industry examples to support the thought process. For e.g. there can be some data showing the importance of the study in banking sector specifically.</li> <li>3. To maintain flow there should be an opening paragraph in literature review section.</li> <li>4. There should also be a closing paragraph covering all the variables of job satisfaction collectively.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The topic of the research uses word exploratory, however the methodology covers EFA &amp; CFA. Consider changing accordingly both the title and the approach.</li> <li>2. Discussion needs to be elaborated more.</li> <li>3. Implication must include strategic aspect.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The paper is based on very relevant subject, with the implementation on the changes it would take a better shape.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nidhi S Natrajan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Symbiosis International (Deemed University), India</b>