

## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_63967
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the inherent in-vitro antioxidant potential of Commelina benghalensis leaf extract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Author missed to provide the details of Vitamin C standard and Quercetin control concentrations in the preparation standards procedure – must be added, but it has mentioned the final conc. used under figure.	
Minor REVISION comments	Generally antioxidant studies - researchers try to conclude with data by IC 50 values Now the bar diagram is seems non-scientific , but it is not wrong – but can be changed Yu W, Zhao Y, Shu B. The radical scavenging activities of radix puerariae isoflavonoids: A chemiluminescence study. Food Chem. 86(4):525-529. ( in this reference year is missing )	
Optional/General comments	In conclusion author stated that (Thus the plant is a potent source of antioxidants hence could serve as a cost effective food/feed additive), if no data kept in the manuscript in support of this statement look hard – can be avoided.	

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Badmanaban.R
Department, University & Country	Kerala University of Health Sciences, India

# ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her