



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_52791
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE PRODUCTIVE TRAITS AND RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BREEDS OF CATTLE (FRIESIAN BUNAJI CROSS, BUNAJI AND SOKOTO GUDALI) USING BLOOD BIOCHEMICAL POLYMORPHISM
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>First of all I would like to congratulate you for this article that is easy to read and interesting. Nonetheless, I think it still requires some minor improvements prior to publish it. So I require revision of your article, no further experiments, just edition of the article.</p> <p>Line 40-41: if you stated "many research work" please add supplementary references at the final of the sentence</p> <p>Line 55: please insert the name of the country where the study has been conducted</p> <p>Line 60: please provide some information about the term "semi intensive system of management"</p> <p>Line 91: In order to collect blood, you did not need the approval of the ethics commission on animal testing? If yes, please provide any information in this regard.</p> <p>Line 146: ANOVA with Uppercase</p> <p>Line 300: at the end of the conclusion section please insert a sentence regarding future perspectives (if they exist) on the targeted research area</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kálmán Imre
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Romania