



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJBGMB_52209</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECTS OF POWDERED STEM BARK OF Terminalia avicennioides MADE AS DIETARY FEED FED TO MICE INFECTED WITH Plasmodium berghei, ON LIVER FUNCTION</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Lack of information of genetic strain of Swiss albino mice</li> <li>2. In Parasitological study the count of white blood cell looks not enough</li> <li>3. Reference 12 (Discussion second paragraph line 9) the comment is not related with the text of the reference)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Give the differences in genetics status it is necessary to have this information</li> <li>2. Usually count of 500 white cells is needed</li> <li>3. The reference refers to a study in a pregnant woman. The in vivo analysis was done using the plant <i>T. avicennioides</i>?</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In plant materials it is not mentioned if the plants came from a Biological Reserve or from free nature</li> <li>2. References revision</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That is important because it has been demonstrated that the environment conditions are important in the chemical components production in plants.</li> <li>2. The more recent reference is of 2014, five years ago. An actualization could be necessary.</li> </ol> <p>The plants that we have been and we will studied, are very different to those used by the authors of this manuscript</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments			



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Is there any authorization to use animals in laboratory studies?	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Misael Chinchilla</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad de Ciencias Médicas, Costa Rica</b>