



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_54077
Title of the Manuscript:	Line X Tester Analysis for Protein content in Quality Protein Maize (QPM) (Zea mays L.) Genotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article is worthy publishing but the author (s) need attention on the M&M section which is basis of the study, otherwise, if this section is not clear that is a miss. 2. The soil parameters analysis need to be clear here and brief on the methods and I have not seen them in the discussion nor any Table. 3. Some statements needs reference and some are too long which need splitting 4. Sometime in the article the author tend to report as "treatment" What are these treatments can we name instead of hiding. 5. Some reference are missing in text and in the reference section and it is indicated in the document 6. Statistics section is where you explain on how the data was handled and if it was transformed not methods used for protein determination etc..... 7. Was this (results in the table) subjective to ANOVA and any information on significance and it applies to the other tables to follow 8. In the conclusion section 'No need to qualify the results but report your conclusion remarks' 9. Some statements are supposed to be in the R&D 10. There are some insertion in the document which needs author (s) to scrutinize 11. Make the R&D strong 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christopher Kalima Phiri
Department, University & Country	Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources (LUANAR), Malawi