

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_55911
Title of the Manuscript:	USAGE OF MOBILE PHONES IN IMPROVING LIVELIHOOD AMONG HORTICULTURAL FARMERS IN PARTS OF KADUNA ZONE: EMPIRICAL STUDY OF IGABI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF KADUNA STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 While factual and maybe regarded as common knowledge, some statements need referencing, especially in the introductory section of the paper. The author adopts a loose application of concepts such as knowledge/information and information transfer/dissemination. While this might be common during informal verbal discussions, this is wrong from a strict academic/conceptual point of view. Please revise based on the detailed comments in the draft paper. Policy recommendations must be feasible and practical. Rather than calling for an impossible law the author should recommend that the federal government provides economic incentives, such as tax deductions for imported equipment, for mobile service providers to expand their mobile service coverage in rural/horticultural production areas. Some policy recommendations, e.g. the need to reduce call rates, are disconnected from the study findings since exorbitant call rates are not highlighted as one of the key constraints. Please revise. 	
Minor REVISION comments	 Improve writing style. E.g. reformulate sentences to ensure that no sentences are starting with numbers. This is a journal article based on past research. As such the appropriate grammatical tense to use is the past tense. Please revise accordingly. The draft manuscript has a number of grammatical mistakes and typos. These need to be rectified. 	
Optional/General comments	 The sample size is a bit on the low size but not low enough to render the findings invalid/insignificant. 	

A NORTHERN GUINEA SAVANNAH ECO-

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write



PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is ma feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjamine Hanyani-Mlambo
Department, University & Country	University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe

vith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her