SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_55911
Title of the Manuscript:	USAGE OF MOBILE PHONES IN IMPROVING LIVELIHOOD AMONG HORTICULTURAL FARMERS IN PARTS OF KADUNA NORTHERN GUINEA SAVANNAH ECO- ZONE: EMPIRICAL STUDY OF IGABI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF KADUNA STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A separate detailed report is attached as a separate document.	
	The author needs to reduce wording in the title by removing unnecessary wording as well as use simple terms like 'use' in place of 'usage'.	
	The author needs to review punctuation and grammar throughout the document.	
	Some sentences are too long combining 2 or 3 points. This makes them difficult to read and follow. The author should review and break them down.	
	The report sounds superficial lacking details in methodology, results and conclusion. More detail needs to be given especially in the results section. The results section is more like a repletion of tables in words lacking any discussion or further description or explanation. Visual presentations like graphs would break monotony of tables and makes comparison much easier. The last set of results on regression lack important information and are not quite clear. What exactly was regressed against socio-economic factors.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	I numbered lines in the script and will refer to that line numbering.	
	Author should reduce wording by removing unnecessary wording and use simple terms like 'use' instead of 'usage'	
	Line Comment	
	8-9 Break sentence	
	11 Data is treated as singular	
	12, 14 Report should be in past tense that is were instead of are	
	18 Punctuation	
	at P<0.05 or remove at and leave the brackets. No need to write level	
	of significance after the P value.	
	ls government legislation or finances enough to improve network and	
	reduce cost cost factors in running costs and determined more by network provider unless government subsidises	
	Keywords Improving is too general	
	30 Redundance (producing)	
	30-32 Rephrase/review sentence	
	Rephrase sentence, remove brackets.	
	36 Remove second comma	
	39 Specify phone type. Remove colon.	
	40 Remove comma	
	41 Rephrase	
	42 Specify phone type	
	46 Review punctuation.	
	47 Remove also and very.	41
	49 Last sentence to be moved to paragraph around line 39	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI Review Form 1.6

1	Daviena managa
51	Review gramma
52	What is our times? More scientific or professional terms like current
	would be better
53	Governments not government
56	Last part not making sense
57	Not making sence
67	Review punctuation
68	Review gramma
67-72	Rephrase sentence.
76	Rephrase sentence
80	Phone type
85	What applications, specify, give examples, clearly explain where
	apps were not user friendly
91	What barriers- give examples
99,102	
	Socio-economic not economics
110	Between
118	Repetition/ redundancy
121-124	Align text
122	Structured
125	Remove ?
126	Remove ?
142	What statistical package was used?
146	Review punctuation
148	What model was used
177	Review gramma
178	Data supporting this is presented much later in a different section
186	Point not clear that is relating household characterisation to
100	
100110	horticulture activity
188/195	Why CAPS in the title?
Table 2	Grammar
S/N 3	
198-201	Rephrase. Review punctuation.
199	Typo error
203-204	
	Are there any statistics on income
204	Edit punctuation. Review grammar
208	Enomous not appropriate
214	Review sentence
219	Edit capital letters in the middle of sentence
220	Is fraud the same with limited coverage?
236-240	i) Author could have given more detail on the relationships e.g.
	how exactly did family size or education affect mobile
	phone use by farmers.
	ii) Various perceived uses are listed in Table 2. What was used
	in the regression against socio-economic factors?
Overal	Refer to Rev_AJAHR_55911
comment)
ptional/General comments	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benhildah Pamhidzai Masuka	
Department, University & Country	University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)