



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_66839
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of cereal self-sufficiency and food balance projection in Afghanistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- In Table 2, the total production for years (1987-1988), (1989-1990), (1991-1992), (1995-1996) and (1997-1998) is greater than total consumption. But based on the results of this study the amount of Self –sufficiency is very low (4,4.5, 2.1, 0.3, and 0.5), while it should be above 100. 2- Fig. 1 and Fig.2 show that the time series data used in this study are not stationary (you should check stationary of data by tests such as Dickey–Fuller test). In addition, it seems that your data suffer from a structural break. Hence, I sure that the results are presented in Table 2 are not valid. 3- I was really confused about how to make a prediction using a linear regression. I highly recommend that you use econometric techniques such as ARIMA or artificial neural network for prediction. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The following sentence is not clear: In general, g significantly among cultures and livelihood groups. 2. In Table 2, you used 2020 instead of 2030. 	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riza Radmehr
Department, University & Country	Ferdowsi University Of Mashhad, Iran