



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_62934
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Attitude of Rural Women towards Agroforestry Practices in Kaduna State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction part may be reduced into a brief informative section 2. Data in table and in inference discussion differs that has to be revised (table 1, table 2, table 3, table 4a, table 5b, table 6) 3. Agroforestry term should be used in same pattern throughout the manuscript 4. All the tables should be given the number frequency (n=120) and wherever required multiple response should be mentioned 5. The maximum and minimum score mentioned in 4a should be evidently given. 6. Mean score should be calculated and added in Table 5a 7. Clarify whether the statement on rejecting null hypothesis is correct or wrong 8. Reference should be given in the prescribed format. Some references lack the format which need to be revised 9. Some more (one or two) recent review (after 2015) may be included. As the reference quoted are quite very old 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minor spelling mistakes and grammatical errors need to be revised 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In general, the study is a basic social research which has been executed well and written well 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Cinthia Fernandaz
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India