



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_59017
Title of the Manuscript:	AGRICULTURAL CREDIT, A CRITICAL INPUT ON FARMERS INCOME: A STUDY FROM NAYAGARH DISTRICT OF ODISHA
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Abstract need to be improved and further suggested to add implications of the research.</li> <li>-Spelling mistakes need to be fixed.</li> <li>-Background of the study in the context of agriculture credit is also need to be incorporated in the introduction section.</li> <li>- Statement of problem is also missing in the introduction part.</li> <li>- The methodology is not fully synchronised as it is different in abstract from methodology section.</li> <li>- The results need to be supported (or even contradicted results) by the latest scholarly work.</li> <li>- Conclusion is missing although sub heading is there but only suggestions are mentioned.</li> <li>- The author (s) is suggested to follow the style of citations as prescribed by the Journal.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper needs to be improved as it is lacking clarity and scientific soundness.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muhammad Ali
Department, University & Country	PMAS-Arid Agriculture University Rawalpindi, Pakistan