



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_59017
Title of the Manuscript:	AGRICULTURAL CREDIT, A CRITICAL INPUT ON FARMERS INCOME: A STUDY FROM NAYAGARH DISTRICT OF ODISHA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check carefully and correct your english writing in your paper. There are lots of grammatical mistakes among your sentences. 2. Revise and correct the regression analysis part. Your model has lots of mistakes from statistical point of view. You did not count for correlation between your variables. In addition, you did not perform other tests (example, autocorrelation, heteroscedasticity, etc) that prove the validity of your results. Please read the comments in the manuscript. 3. You didn't do a Literature Review about this topic. There are not enough citations in the paper. The author does not mention other studies that treat the same topic regarding the effect of farm credit on income. There are lots of studies in the References at the end that are not mentioned in the paper. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add as an Appendix the interview that you used for the farmers. What kind of questions did you provide? 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Choose a certain style for citation (example APA style) 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Aida Salko</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Sapienza University of Rome, Italy</i>