



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_54892
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Production and Marketing of Rice Cultivation in Vizianagaram District of Andhra Pradesh State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article
Date received	February 11, 2020
Date due	February 18, 2020

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In general, a good and attractive subject but needs modification to be appropriately written paper.</p> <p>The authors were clear in their research plan.</p> <p>The abstract is very brief not addressing clearly the different parts of the paper and missing the implications</p> <p>The Introduction and the literature review are extremely brief and not enough to support the theoretical foundations of the paper</p> <p>The methodology is not appropriate and needs more to be clear</p> <p>The results are clear, well presented appropriate to support the discussion about the objectives of the paper.</p> <p>The discussion is adequate and does support the objectives declared through the paper. Conclusion and recommendations need validation</p> <p>Proofreading is needed</p> <p>1. Referencing needs careful review of write up consistency</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Copy of corrected paper is attached	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon