


SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_54091
Title of the Manuscript:	LIVESTOCK SECTOR IN INDIA- A CRITICAL ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Auth
		the r man
		his/h
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction: Rewrite justifying objective of the work	
	Methodology	
	Period of data contradicts the data period cited in Introduction/abstract	
	Should be rewritten citing reference of each type of data	
	What was the basis?	
	Results and Discussion	
	May be reorganized following the comments given in the text	
	PI. justify the changes bringing rural socioeconomic changes in the discussion, data presentation is not enough to justify changes	
	Table 1 and Table 2 may be combined in one; compound growth is presented in Table 3; they are n at all required here to present and to shown year wise. Data repetition must be avioded. Trend in population change and in the composition of national herd like, large ruminant (cattle, buffalo, cows/bulls) or small ruminant herd (goat/sheep/dairy goat etc) or poultry flocks (commercial/scavenging/duck over a period of 1951 to 2016 would be more demanding to readers; year to year data or period to period data is not at all respond to the need of the objective of the article.	ot
	Table 3 and Table 4 may be combined together into a revised Table shown in the text	
	Table 5, 6, 7, & 8 may be combined and presented following a Table given in the text; any trend may be shown in graphics	у
	Similarly Table 9, 10, 11 & 12 may be combined together	
	Please see the comments given in the text	
Minor REVISION comments		
Taxt may be improved citize similar works		
Text may be improved, citing similar works Optional/General comments		
The article may be revised and published as full article		

uthor's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct e manuscript and highlight that part in the anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write s/her feedback here)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khan Shahidul Huque
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh

l with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her