LIVESTOCK SECTOR IN INDIA- A CRITICAL ANALYSIS

Abstract

India has the world's largest livestock population accounting for over 37.28 per cent of cattle, 21.23 per cent of buffalo, 26.40 per cent of goats and 12.17 per cent of sheep of the world's population. As far as livestock population is concerned, India's livestock population (512.1 million heads) constitutes majorly of cattle (190.90 million), goats (135.17 million), buffaloes (108.70 million), and sheep (65.07 million). The study examined the growth and export dimension of livestock sector of in India, the factors affecting livestock output and the trends, performance and determinants of livestock production. Secondary data for years (1951 to 2016-17) were collected. Besides, Regression analysis, the Markov Chain analysis and Coppock's Index were computed to achieve the stipulated objectives. The results revealed that India's total livestock population increased from 289.4 million in 1951 to 529.70 million in 2007 but plummeted to 512.06 million in 2012, while total poultry population increased consistently from 73.5 million in 1951 to 729.21 million in 2012.

In livestock composition bovine share was increased but bovine share was decreased. Buffalo, goat and pig share was also increased but cow, cattle and sheep share to total livestock population was decreased. The instability was observed the highest in poultry and remaining livestock animals also shows the instability in its population growth. India's milk, meat, egg and wool production increased at the rate of 4.18, 2.74, 6.02 and 0.81 per cent per annum respectively during the study period. Production of milk, meat and egg increased rapidly after 1970, 1980 and 1980, respectively. India's trade balance for livestock and livestock products shifted from deficient condition to positive balance.

Keywords: Trends in livestock population, Growth and instability of livestock and livestock production

Introduction

The livestock wealth in the world comprises about 195 million buffaloes, 1,482 million cattle, 1,006 million goats, 1,209 million sheep, 58.9 million horses, 10 million mules, and 27.8 million camels (Anonymous, 2016). The distribution of the livestock population across the globe shows that the ruminants, cattle and sheep dominate the animal population in Asia, Africa and Oceania. The proportion of cattle, sheep and goat population is almost same in Europe. In North, Central and South America cattle dominates, while goats are primarily found in Asia (59%) and Africa (34%). Asia accounts for 41 per cent of sheep and 58 per cent of swine population. About 97 per cent of world's total livestock population is in Asia (Philipson *et al.* 2011). India has the world's largest livestock population accounting for over 37.28 per cent of cattle, 21.23 per cent of buffalo, 26.40 per cent of goats and 12.17 per cent of sheep of the world's population. As far as livestock population is concerned, India's livestock population (512.1 million heads) constitutes majorly of cattle (190.90 million), goats (135.17 million), buffaloes (108.70 million), and

Comment [PE1]: Ambiguous. Please, recast.

Comment [PE2]: Ambiguous. Please, revisit.

Comment [PE3]: Ambiguous. Please, recast

Comment [PE4]: Ambiguous. Please, recast

Comment [PE5]: Please, reframe for clarity

Comment [PE6]: Please, acknowledge the source of this statement (reference).

Comment [PE7]: Please, acknowledge the source of this statement (reference).

Comment [PE8]: Please, acknowledge the source of this statement (reference).

sheep (65.07 million). The country also exhibited a tremendous increase in the poultry population in the last three decades and rose from 207.74 million birds in 1982 to 729.21 million birds by 2012 census. The present In order to study has the scenario of livestock following objectives: were framed.

- To estimate the trends and changes in composition of livestock population of India.
- To work out the overall impact and changes in production and productivity of livestock.
- To estimate the instability of major livestock and livestock products of India.

Research Methodology

The study was is based on the time series data obtained from various published sources viz; Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Annual reports, Commission published by government of India, Report on export of products by APEDA. The data on livestock population for the selected decade years covering the period from 1951 to 2015 in respect of India were obtained by referring the livestock census reports published by the Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, India. Some other reports viz., Annual reports, Agriculture and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority (APEDA) reports on exports, Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) were also useful for getting relevant information.

This These information became useful for studying the changes in livestock population, growth rates in livestock products (milk, meat and egg, etc.), export of livestock products during the period from 1960-61 to 2016-2017. The information is also useful for identifying the important factors influencing the livestock production in India.

Analysis of data

The analysis of data was done keeping in view the objectives of the study. It was proposed to use both tabular and statistical method of analysis. For the study total period was divided into sub periods as per availability of data.

Percentage change over the base year

The per cent change over base year for tth year was calculated as,

Per cent change = $(Xt - Xt-1/Xt-1) \times 100$

Where, Xt-1 and Xt-1 represents the quantity in tth and (t-1)th year, respectively.

Compound growth rate

In estimation of growth of livestock population and production (Milk, Meat, Egg, Wool etc.). Exponential function of the following type to the data for three periods explained as below,

 $Y = ab^t$

Where,

Comment [PE9]: Please, acknowledge the source of this statement (reference).

Comment [PE10]: Please, acknowledge the source of this statement (reference).

Comment [PE11]: Please, reconcile this period with the period of 1951 to 2015 ib line 3 of this section.

Comment [PE12]: Ambiguous. Please, specify your analytical procedure(s)

Comment [PE13]: Same parameters (Xt-1). Please, revisit.

Formatted: Superscript

- Y= Livestock production (milk, meat, egg in tonnes)/livestock population/export of livestock product/import of livestock product
- a = Intercept
- b = Regression coefficient, t = Time period in years

Finally, <u>* The</u> annual rate of the compound growth in the livestock products, population, export and import will be and their exports was worked out by using the formula,

$$CGR \% = (Antilog b-l) \times 100$$

The significance of the estimated compound growth rates was examined with the help of student "t" test.

Instability analysis

Coefficient of variation

Coefficient of variation of livestock population and livestock products will be was estimated for examining the growth and instability of livestock population and livestock products.

$$C V = (S.D/Mean) X100$$

Coppock index

Coppock index was estimated for examining the instability. It is was calculated as the antilog of the square root of the logarithmic variance using the following formula (Coppock, 1962)

Coppock Index = (Antilog)
$$\sqrt{(V \log - 1) * 100 \text{ V} \log = 1/(N-1)} \sum (\log pt + 1 - \log pt - M)2$$

 $M = 1/(N-1) + \sum (\log pt + 1 - \log pt)$

Results and Discussion

The results of the study are presented and discussed in this chapter in line with objective and methodology of the study under the following sub-headings.

- 1. Trends in livestock population of India
- Changes in composition of livestock population of India
- 3. Growth and instability of livestock and livestock production in India.
- 4. Export and import value of livestock products and livestock

Comment [PE14]: Define the components of this equation.

Comment [PE15]: Define the components of this equation.

Comment [PE16]: Define the components of this equation.

1) Trends in livestock population of India

Under present investigation, <u>t</u> Table 1 gives the growth trends in livestock population <u>of in India</u>. The <u>census period</u> growth rate of total Livestock population depicted a continuously increasing trend were 4.77 per cent in 1956 to 26.54 per cent in 1977 then to 82.33 per cent in 2007 before decline to 76.27 per cent in 2012 (declined means that its less than the previous census but not less than the base census). The total bovine population recorded <u>a</u> similar trend <u>of growth</u> and increased <u>continuously progressively</u> from 198.7 million in 1951 to 304.42 million in 2007 and declined to 299.6 million in 2012 (declined means that its less than the previous census but not less than the base census). The cattle population increased <u>continuously progressively</u> from -155.3 million in 1951 to 180 million in 1977 then to 204.58 million in 1992. After 1992 cattle population <u>declined continuously decreased</u> in the census 1997 and 2003 <u>by having population 198.88 million</u>, 185.18 million respectively then its increased <u>with the population 199.68 million</u> in 2007. <u>but i I</u>t further declined to 190.08 million in 2012. Decline in cattle population <u>was</u> mainly due to <u>a</u> reduction <u>of in the bullock population</u>, reasons for bullock population reductions are (i) increased use of farm machinery in agriculture enhanced by subsidized credit from the central and state governments (Sserunjogi and Lokesh, 2014).

On the other hand, the buffalo population registered a consistently strong increase in its absolute population during the study period. It increased continuously, progressively –from 43.4 million in 1951 to 62 million in 1977 then to 108.7 million in 2012. The census period growth rate of buffalo population depicted a continuously increasing trend were 3.45 per cent in 1956 to 42.86 per cent in 1977 then to 150.46 per cent in 2012 over the base census of 1951. The highest growth rate was observed during in 2012.

As far as small ruminants were concerned, the total bovine Goat population in India increased from 86.3 million in 1951 to 116.6 million in 1977 then to 185.83 million in 2003. It further rose to 212.1 million in 2007 before it slumped to 200.24 million in 2012.

The sheep population growth was registered fluctuation. In the beginning of study period observed that increase of population from 39.1 million in 1951 to 42.4 million in 1966 before declining to 40 million in 1972, after this its population drastically increased to

48.76 in 1982 but it again declined to 45.7 million in 1987. Thereafter it taken took an increasing trend with 57.49 million in 1997, 61.47 in 2003 and it peaked at 70.60 million in 2007 before it declined to 63.90 million in the 2012 census. The census period the change in sheep population depicted a continuous fluctuation, the changes were 4.86 per cent in 1977, then to 83.2 per cent in 2007 and declined to 66.42 per cent in 2012 over the base census of 1951.

The pigs population on the other hand registered that recorded the lowest population among the livestock populations under the study. The pig population marginally increased from 4.49 million in 1951 to 4.9 million in 1956 and 5.2 million in 1961. h—However; afterwards it declined to 5 million in 1966. As far as poultry population is concerned, India registered a rapid and consistent rise in poultry population during the study period. The poultry population in India increased tenfold, from 73.5 million birds in 1951 to 729.21 million birds by

the 2012 census. In census period the growth of poultry population depicted a continuously increasing trend and changes were 28.98 per cent in 1956 to 159.2 per cent in 1977 then to 892.12 per cent in 2012 over the base census.

It could be inferred from the above discussion that in the last six decades, India experienced an impressive growth in poultry population which could have emanated from increased uptake of introduction of improved chickens for poultry farming across Indian states. Among the bovine animals, buffaloes registered consistently increasing population, on the basis of higher consumer preference for buffalo milk due to its high fat content and ease in of machines for agricultural operations in Indian agriculture which decreased the demand for bullocks for draught purpose. The bovine population depicted decrease in growth in various inter-census periods resulting from continuous degradation of common grazing lands across Indian states.

2) Changes in composition of livestock population of India

To study the changes in composition of livestock the census wise composition of livestock was examined. The livestock involves bovine *viz.*, cattle and buffalo, bovine *viz.*, sheep and goat, pig. Changes in composition mean that variation of livestock animal in each census and their shares in total population.

Table 2 Changes in composition of livestock population of India.

This study revealed that bovine population share to total livestock population was maximum (68.66%) in 1951 census but its share was continuously declined from 68.66 per cent in 1951 to 58.73 per cent in 2012. With some marginal fluctuations across the census. The decline of bovine share due was mainly to continuously decrease of cattle population share. Cattle population share was 53.7 per cent in 1951 but decreased to 37.4 per cent in 2012. Cow population share was also continuously decreasing from 18.80 per cent in 1951 to 15.25 per cent in 1972 then it further declined 15.04 per cent in 2012. Reason for decrease of cattle and cow population share was slow growth rate of it population as compared to other livestock animal's population growth rate. Buffalo population share in total livestock population was continuously increasing from 15.0 per cent in 1951 to 16.9 in 1977 then to 21.3 per cent in 2012.

In case of bovine population, its share in total livestock population was fluctuating across the census and its share lies between 30 to 40 per cent in each census to total livestock population. Bovine population growth rate was moderately increasing so its population share had continuously increased. The main reason for increase of bovine population was rapid increase of goat population share. Goat population share was continuously increasing from

Comment [PE17]: This subtitle is not different from that 1 above. Please, subsume the contents in this subtitle into subtitle 1 above.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

16.31 per cent in 1951 to 20.64 per cent in 1977 then it further increased to 26.64 per cent in 2007 before decline to 26.50 per cent in 2012. In case of sheep population its share was 13.51 per cent in 1951 but decreased to 11.20 per cent 1977 then it further declined to 10.86 per cent in 1992 before increased of its share to 13.56 per cent in 2007. But in 2012 it further declined to 12.76 per cent.

In case of pig population share in total livestock population was continuously increasing from 1.55 per cent in 1951 to 2.80 per cent in 2003 before declined to 2.02 per cent in 2012. The reason for increase of its share in each census was increase of its population to the total livestock population.

It could be inferred from the above discussion that in the last six decades, India experienced a decrease of bovine share in total livestock composition due to cattle and cow's slow growth rate. On other hand bovine share was balanced by buffalo's moderate growth rate. The bovine population share was continuously increasing due to rapid growth rate of goat population but sheep share was declining. Pig population share in each census was increased due to consistent increase of pig population to the total livestock population of related census.

3) Growth and instability of livestock and livestock production in India

In the previous section, an attempt has been made to study the livestock development, in terms of absolute changes in its composition of livestock population in India during the last sixty one years in India.

A detailed analysis was done for compound growth rate and instability of livestock population, total milk, meat, egg, wool production at all India level. Moreover, the growth rates were worked out separately for the sub-periods: period I (1960-61 to1969-70), period II (1970- 71 to 1979-80), period III (1980-81 to 1989-90), period IV (1990-91 to 1999-00), period V (2000-01 to2009-10), period VI (2010-11 to 2016-17) and for the overall period (1960-61 to 2016-17). Coppock"s Index was also computed to examine whether there was any significant shift in growth function between the sub-periods.

Table 3 - Compound growth rate of livestock population of India

The compound growth rate of livestock population and it reveals that fluctuation in the growth rate of livestock population between inter periods. Highest growth rate of livestock population was observed during second period (1970-71 to 1979-80) with 1.3 per cent per annum and lowest growth rate was observed during sixth period (2010-11 to 2016-17) with negative growth rate of 0.32 per cent per annum. For the entire period (1960-61 to 2016-17) total livestock growth rate was 0.93 per cent per annum. Highest growth rate of cattle observed during third period with 0.74 per cent per annum and lowest growth rate observed during fourth and sixth period with negative 0.68 per cent annually in both periods. This means that cattle population decreased during fourth and sixth period. For the entire period growth rate of cattle was highly significant with increase by 0.18 per cent per annum.

Comment [PE18]: This subtitle is not different from that 1 above. Please, subsume the contents in this subtitle into subtitle 1 above.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

On the other hand buffalo population growth rate was also showing fluctuation. Highest growth rate of buffalo observed during third period with 1.83 per cent annually and lowest growth rate observed during sixth period with 0.8 per cent annually. The population of buffalo has significantly increased in all the periods and for entire period also, In case of sheep population growth rate, it is also showing fluctuation. In first and third periods it growth was non-significant. Sheep population growth increased in rapidly in fourth period that's why its shows 2.1 per cent growth rate per annum. In sixth period the sheep population declined by 0.74 per cent per annum. At the entire period the sheep population was significantly increased by 1.12 per cent per annum. On the other hand goat population growth rate also in fluctuation mode. Highest significant growth rate of goat population was observed during second period with 2.88 per cent per annum. It was lowest in sixth period with negative growth rate (-0.74 per cent). The overall period growth rate was 1.7 per cent per annum which was highly significant.

In case pig population growth rate, it also showed fluctuation. Highest growth rate observed during second period with 3.37 per cent per annum and lowest in fifth and sixth period with negative growth rates of -3.09 and -2.81 per cent per annum respectively. The entire period growth rate was 1.52 per cent annum and it was significant.

As far as poultry population is concern the population of poultry was significantly increased in all periods. It was highest (5.84 per cent) in fifth and lowest (2.14 per cent) in first period. Entire period growth rate of poultry was significantly increased with 3.92 per cent per annum.

It could be inferred from the above discussion that in the last six decades, highest growth rate was observed in poultry and fallowed by goat population due to increase in demand for meat and eggs. Cattle population growth rate was decreased due to decrease of bullock population. Buffalo and goat achieved moderate growth rate. Sheep and pig also had good growth rate of population.

Table 4 - Instability of livestock population of India

The coefficient of variation used as instability and coppock index as instability index. Table 4 depicts that instability and instability index of livestock population. That indicates that the total livestock population and individual component of livestock i.e. cattle, buffalo, sheep, goat, pig and poultry population was consistent across all the period. It indicates that there was much variation in population growth of all the livestock populations as such. However, for the overall period the population is was not consistent. Especially, poultry population was more fluctuated as compared to the different periods.

The same trend was observed in instability index. The total livestock population and for different categories of livestock *viz.*, cattle, buffalo, sheep, goat, pig, and poultry population was were most stable across all periods and entire period.

It could be inferred from the above-discussion that in the last six decades, highest instability was observed in poultry population than in other livestock. It was followed by goat and pig. Instability was less in cattle due to its slow growth rate. In Buffalo and sheep population also observed instability due to moderate growth rate.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Comment [PE19]: Ambiguous. Please, recast.

Comment [PE20]: Ambiguous. Please, recast.

Table 5 - Growth, Variation and Instability Index in Milk Production of India

In India overall production of milk increasing significantly at 4.18 per cent per annum. The highest significant growth observed during third period with 5.41 per cent per annum. In all the period the growth was significant except non-significant in period I with 0.58 per cent per annum. The instability indices for total milk production was 3.77 per cent, 12.64 per cent and 15.69 per cent, 13.39 per cent, 13.49 per cent, 11.06 per cent respectively for period I, II, III, IV, V, VI. The instability was high during period III. The overall period instability was 3.39 per cent. This showed that milk production was more instable unstable during overall period.

Table 6 - Growth, Variation and Instability Index in Meat Production of India

In India, at overall period the production of meat increased ing significantly at 2.74 per cent per annum. The highest significant growth was observed in meat production during fifth period with 3.39 per cent per annum. The results of instability analysis indicates that the meat production was very stable during all the period i.e. Period I to VI (0.091 to 0.371). The meat production was very much consistent in all the period. However, at the overall the meat production was not consistent during the period under study.

Table 7 - Growth, variation and instability index in egg production of India

In India overall production of egg showed that was increasing, significantly at 6.02 per cent per annum. The highest positive significant growth observed during third period with 8.16 per cent per annum. It shows that fluctuation in the growth rate between the inter periods. The egg production was more consistent during period IV, V, VI (12.57, 16.6 and 11.36 per cent, respectively) as compared to period I, II, III (19.13, 18.81 and 23.43 per cent, respectively). The variation was high during period III. The overall period instability was 86.2. This showed that egg production was not consistent during the study period. The coppock index showed the same trend.

Table 8 - Growth, variation and instability index of wool production in India

In India, the overall production of wool showed that increased, significantly at 0.81 per cent per annum. As compared to other livestock product production more fluctuation was observed in wool production. In first period its growth was non-significant with 1.17 per cent per annum. The negative growth observed during second and fifth period (-1.5 NS and -1.76). The highest positive significant growth observed during third period with 3.04 per cent per annum. It registered that fluctuation in the growth rate between the inter periods. The wool production was consistent during all periods under study. The same trend was observed in coppock index. Coppock index revealed that the wool production was more stable in all the period and for entire period also.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Comment [PE21]: You may not use statistical significance here as you did not carry out statistical test. It is sufficient to just use the calculated percentage(s)

Comment [PE22]: You may not use statistical significance here as you did not carry out statistical test. It is sufficient to just use the calculated percentage(s)

Comment [PE23]: You may not use statistical significance here as you did not carry out statistical test. It is sufficient to just use the calculated percentage(s)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Comment [PE24]: You may not use statistical significance here as you did not carry out statistical test. It is sufficient to just use the calculated percentage(s)

Comment [PE25]: You may not use statistical significance here as you did not carry out statistical test. It is sufficient to just use the calculated percentage(s)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Comment [PE26]: You may not use statistical significance here as you did not carry out statistical test. It is sufficient to just use the calculated percentage(s)

Comment [PE27]: You may not use statistical significance here as you did not carry out statistical test. It is sufficient to just use the calculated percentage(s)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Comment [PE28]: You may not use statistical significance here as you did not carry out statistical test. It is sufficient to just use the calculated percentage(s)

Comment [PE29]: You may not use statistical significance here as you did not carry out statistical test. It is sufficient to just use the calculated percentage(s)

4) Export and import value of livestock products and livestock

In this section export and imports of milk products, meat, eggs, live animals and their value is given.

Table 9 - Export and Import milk products of India

Table 9 revealed that the India imported more milk products at the beginning decades of after independence as trade balance of milk product was negative up to 1980-81 for both quantity and value. White revolution made India self-sufficient in milk production and that's why India started exporting milk products after 1990-91 at large quantity hence India's trade balance was positive with large amount after 1990-91. In the year 2016-17, India's trade balance of milk products was positive and highest as compared to other periods under study.

Table 10 - Export and import of total meat

Table 10 revealed that India was all time exporter of meat product. India's meat trade balance was positive and increasing in all decades except in decadal year 1970-71, where India's trade balance was positive but drastically declined.

Table 11 - Export and import of eggs

Table 11 revealed that India was an -all_time exporter of eggs. India's export was always increasing but only declined during the year 1970-71.India's export in quantity and value more than import in all years. It was highest in quantity for the year 2010-11(40598 tonnes) and in value for the year 2016-17 (77851, 000 \$).

Table 12 - Export and import of livestock

Table 12 depicts the trade balance of live animals in both quantity and value. Table 12 revealed that, <u>t</u> The trade balance of live animals was positive for all decadal year except in the year 1970-71 and 2000-01. Whereas in the year 1990-91 the trade balance was negative for quantity but positive in value. However it concludes that the export and import of live animals both in quantity and value was fluctuating over a period under study.

Table 13 - Export and import value of livestock products and livestock

Table 13 presents ed the export and import values of livestock products and livestock in value. Table 13 shows that India's export was lean a At the beginning of three decade years, those were 1960-61,1970-71 and 1980-81 with the values 1.423m\$,0.587\$ and 71.401m\$, respectively and these were less than the import values at same years. The import values were 14.053 m\$, 16.339 m\$ and 92.283 m\$ for beginning three points of time respectively. There after India's import decreased and export increased after 1990-91 and export values were 113.114 m\$, 408.314 m\$, 2933.185 m\$ and 4397.835 m\$ for 1990-1991, 2000-01, 2010-11, 2016-17, respectively. Trade balance was deficient during 1960-61, 1970-

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Comment [PE30]: Ambiguous. Please, recast.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

71, 1980-81 with the values 12.630m\$, 15.752\$, 20.884 m\$, respectively. India gain trade balance positive after the 1990-91 and the values were 106.588 m\$, 408.519 m\$, 2840.976 m\$, 4423.561 m\$ for 1990-91, 2000-01,2010-11, 2016-17.

Summary and Conclusions

- 1. In case of bovine population, its share in total livestock population was fluctuating across the census and its share lies between 30 to 40 per cent in each census to total livestock population. Bovine population growth rate was moderately increasing so its population share had continuously increased. The main reason for increase of bovine population was rapid increase of goat population share. Goat population share was continuously increasing.
- 2. In the last six decades, India experienced a decrease of bovine share in total livestock composition due to cattle and cow's slow growth rate. On other hand bovine share was balanced by buffalo's moderate growth rate. The bovine population share was continuously increasing due to rapid growth rate of goat population but sheep share was declining. Pig population share in each census was increased due to consistent increase of pig population to the total livestock population of related census.
- 3. In Livestock population of India, highest instability observed in poultry population than other livestock.it was followed by goat and pig. Instability was less in cattle due to its slow growth rate. In Buffalo and sheep population also observed instability due to moderate growth rate.
- 4. In India overall production of milk increased ing significantly at 4.18 per cent per annum. The highest significant growth observed during third period with 5.41 per cent per annum. In all the period the growth was significant except non-significant in period I with 0.58 per cent per annum.
- 5. The meat and wool production was consistent during all periods under study, expect egg production.
- 6. India's trade balance of milk products was positive and highest as compared to other periods under study.
- 7. The trade balance of live animals was positive for all decadal year except in the year 1970-71 and 2000-01. Whereas in the year 1990-91 the trade balance was negative for quantity but positive in value. However it concludes that the export and import of live animals both in quantity and value was fluctuating over a period under study.

References

- 1. Sserunjogi, B. and Lokesh, H., 2014. Structural growth and development of livestock sector in North-Eastern Karnataka-An economic analysis. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 27:319-25.
- 2. Thorton, P. K., 2010. Livestock production: recent trends, future prospects. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, 365: 2853-

Comment [PE31]: You may not use statistical significance here as you did not carry out statistical test. It is sufficient to just use the calculated percentage(s)

2867.

- 3. Verma, M. R. and Mandal, S., 2011. Dynamics of milch bovine population in Meghalaya. *Indian Journal of Animal Science*, 81(5): 521-524.
- 4. Vijay, K., Singh, B. P., Triveni, D. and Rajesh, K., 2014. Perceived constraints and suggestions in adoption of goat husbandry technologies: A study in semi-arid zone of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Animal Science*, 84: 897–902.
- 5. Raju, S.S., Anandan, S., Prasad, C.S., 2002. Assessment of animal feed resource availability in Southern Karnataka region. Indian Journal of Animal Science, 72(12): 1137-1140.
- 6. Rao, P. P. and Birthal, P. S., 2005. Review on raising livestock productivity in crop livestock system in India: Challenges and implications for research. Indian Journal of Animal Science, 75: 123337.
- 7. Birthal, P. S. and Parthasarathy, R., 2004. Intensification of livestock production in India: Patterns, trends and determinants. Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 59(3): 555- 565.
- 8. Ramachandra, V. A., 2012. Growth and export dimensions of livestock sector in Karnataka-An Econometric analysis. Agricultural Economics Research Review, 6:458-464.
- 9. Ramachandra, V. A., 2014. An economic analysis of growth in cattle and buffalo population of Karnataka .Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science, 27 (4): 536-538.
- 10. Senthilkumar, G., Selvakumar, K. N., Prabu, M., Serma, A., Valli, C. and Thilakar, P., 2016. Factors influencing draught cattle population in Tamil Nadu. Indian Veternary Journal, 93: 22-24.

TABLES

Table 1: Trends in livestock population of India

(Number in million)

| Year | Cow | Cattle | Buffalo | Total Bovine Population | Sheep | Goat | Total ovine population | Pig | Total livestock population | Poultry |
|------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1951 | 54.4 (100) | 155.3 (100) | 43.4 (100) | 198.7 (100) | 39.1 (100) | 47.2 (100) | 86.3 (100) | 4.49 (100) | 289.4 (100) | 73.5 (100) |
| 1956 | 47.3 (- 13.05) | 158.7 (2.19) | 44.9 (3.45) | 203.6 (2.47) | 39.3 (0.51) | 55.4 (17.4) | 94.7 (9.73) | 4.9 (11.36) | 303.2 (4.77) | 94.8 (28.98) |
| 1961 | 51 (-6.25) | 175.6 (13.07) | 51.2 (17.97) | 226.8 (14.14) | 40.2 (2.81) | 60.9 (29.0) | 101.1 (17.15) | 5.2 (18.8) | 333.1 (15.10) | 114.2 (55.37) |
| 1966 | 51.8 (-4.78) | 176.2 (13.46) | 53 (22.11) | 229.2 (15.35) | 42.4 (8.44) | 64.6 (36.9) | 107 (23.99) | 5 (13.64) | 341.2 (17.90 | 115.4 (57.01) |
| 1972 | 53.4 (-1.84) | 178.3 (14.81) | 57.4 (32.25) | 235.7 (18.62) | 40 (2.30) | 67.5 (43.0) | 107.5 (24.57 | 6.9 (56.82) | 350.1 (20.97 | 138.5 (88.44) |
| 1977 | 54.6 (0.37) | 180 (15.9) | 62 (42.86) | 242 (21.79) | 41 (4.86) | 75.6 (60.2) | 116.6 (35.11) | 7.6 (72.73) | 366.2 (26.54 | 159.2 (116.60) |
| 1982 | 59.2 (8.82) | 192.45 (23.92) | 69.78 (60.78) | 262.23 (31.97) | 48.76 (24.71) | 95.25 (101.1) | 144.01 (66.87) | 10.07 (128.86) | 416.31 (43.85 | 207.74 (182.64) |
| 1987 | 62.1 (14.5) | 199.69 (28.58) | 75.97 (75.14) | 275.66 (38.73) | 45.7 (16.88) | 110.21 (133.5) | 155.91 (80.66) | 10.63 (141.59) | 442.2 (52.80 | 275.32 (274.59) |
| 1992 | 64.4 (18.38) | 204.58 (31.73) | 84.21 (94.03) | 288.79 (45.34) | 50.78 (29.87) | 115.28 (144.2) | 166.06 (92.42) | 12.79 (190.68) | 467.64 (61.59) | 307.07 (317.94) |
| 1997 | 64.4 (18.38) | 198.88 (28.06) | 89.92 (107.19) | 288.8 (45.34) | 57.49 (47.03) | 122.72 (160.0) | 180.21 (108.82) | 13.29 (202.05) | 482.3 (66.66) | 347.61 (372.94) |
| 2003 | 64.5 (18.57) | 185.18 (19.24) | 97.92 (125.62) | 283.1 (42.48) | 61.47 (57.21) | 124.36 (163.5) | 185.83 (115.33) | 13.52 (207.27) | 482.45 (66.71 | 489.01 (565.32) |

| 2007 | 73 | 199.08 | 105.34 | 304.42 | 71.56 | 140.54 | 212.1 | 11.13 | 527.65 | 648.83 |
|------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|--------|----------|
| | (34.19) | (28.19) | (142.72) | (53.21) | (83.2) | (197.8) | (145.7 | (152.95) | (82.33 | (782.76) |
| 2012 | 76.7 | 190.9 | 108.7 | 299.6 | 65.07 | 135.17 | 200.24 | 10.29 | 510.13 | 729.21 |
| | (40.99) | (22.92) | (150.46) | (50.78) | (66.42) | (186.4) | (132.03) | (133.86) | (76.27 | (892.12) |

(Figures in the parentheses indicate percentage change over the base year census) ("-") Sign indicates decline over the base year census Source: Integrated Sample Survey, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Govt. of India, 2014.

Table 2: Changes in composition of livestock population of India

(Number in million)

| Year | Total livestock | Cow | Cattle | Buffalo | Bovine | Sheep | Goat | Ovine | Pig |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|---------|------------|---------|---------|------------|--------|
| | population | | | | population | | | Population | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 1951 | 289.4 | 54.4 | 155.3 | 43.4 | 198.7 | 39.1 | 47.2 | 86.3 | 4.49 |
| | (100) | (18.80) | (53.7) | (15.0) | (68.66) | (13.51) | (16.31) | (29.82) | (1.55) |
| 1956 | 303.2 | 47.3 | 158.7 | 44.9 | 203.6 | 39.3 | 55.4 | 94.7 | 4.9 |
| | (100) | (15.60) | (52.3) | (14.8) | (67.15) | (12.96) | (18.27) | (31.23) | (1.62) |
| 1961 | 333.1 | 51 | 175.6 | 51.2 | 226.8 | 40.2 | 60.9 | 101.1 | 5.2 |
| 1,01 | (100) | (15.31) | (52.7) | (15.4) | (68.09) | (12.07) | (18.28) | (30.35) | (1.56) |
| 1966 | 341.2 | 51.8 | 176.2 | 53 | 229.2 | 42.4 | 64.6 | 107 | 5 |
| 1900 | (100) | (15.18) | (51.6) | (15.5) | (67.17) | (12.43) | (18.93) | (31.36) | (1.47) |
| 1972 | 350.1 | 53.4 | 178.3 | 57.4 | 235.7 | 40 | 67.5 | 107.5 | 6.9 |
| | (100) | (15.25) | (50.9) | (16.4) | (67.32) | (11.43) | (19.28) | (30.71) | (1.97) |
| 1977 | 366.2 | 54.6 (14.91) | 180 | 62 | 242 | 41 | 75.6 | 116.6 | 7.6 |
| | (100) | (14.51) | (49.2) | (16.9) | (66.08) | (11.20) | (20.64) | (31.84) | (2.08) |
| 1982 | 416.31 | 59.2 | 192.4 | 69.78 | 262.23 | 48.76 | 95.25 | 144.01 | 10.07 |
| 1702 | (100) | (14.22) | 5 | (16.8) | (62.99) | (11.71) | (22.88) | (34.59) | (2.42) |
| | | | (46.2) | | | | | | |
| 1987 | 442.2 | 62.1 | 199.6 | 75.97 | 275.66 | 45.7 | 110.21 | 155.91 | 10.63 |
| | (100) | (14.05) | 9 | (17.2) | (62.34) | (10.33) | (24.92) | (35.26) | (2.40) |
| | | | (45.2) | | | | | | |

| 1992 | 467.64 | 64.4 | 204.5 | 84.21 | 288.79 | 50.78 (10.86) | 115.28 | 166.06 | 12.79 |
|------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| | (100) | (13.77) | 8 | (18.0) | (59.88) | | (24.65) | (35.51) | (2.74) |
| | | | (43.7) | | | | | | |
| 1997 | 482.3 | 64.4 | 198.8 | 89.92 | 288.8 | 57.49 | 122.72 | 180.21 | 13.29 |
| | (100) | (13.35) | 8 | (18.6) | (59.88) | (11.92) | (25.44) | (37.36) | (2.76) |
| | | | (41.2) | | | | | | |
| 2003 | 482.45 | 64.5 | 185.1 | 97.92 | 283.1 | 61.47 | 124.36 | 185.83 | 13.52 |
| | (100) | (13.37) | 8 | (20.3) | (58.68) | (12.74) | (25.78) | (38.52) | (2.80) |
| | | | (38.4) | | | | | | |
| 2007 | 527.65 | 73 | 199.0 | 105.3 | 304.42 | 71.56 | 140.54 | 212.1 | 11.13 |
| | (100) | (13.83) | 8 | 4 | (57.69) | (13.56) | (26.64) | (40.20) | (2.11) |
| | | | (37.7) | (20.0) | | | | | |
| 2012 | 510.13 | 76.7 | 190.9 | 108.7 | 299.6 | 65.07 | 135.17 | 200.24 | 10.29 |
| | (100) | (15.04) | (37.4) | (21.3) | (58.73) | (12.76) | (26.50) | (39.25) | (2.02) |

(Figures in the parentheses indicate percentage to total)

Source: Integrated Sample Survey, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Govt. of India, 2014.

Table 3: Compound growth rate of livestock population of India (Per cent)

| Sr. No. | Periods | Cattle | Buffalo | Sheep | Goat | Pig | Poultry | Total Livestock |
|-------------|----------------------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------------|
| I | 1960-61 to1969-70 | 0.19*** | 1.06*** | 0.15NS | 1 *** | 1.63*** | 2.14*** | 0.5 *** |
| II | 1970-71 to1979-80 | 0.48*** | 1.65*** | 1.17*** | 2.88*** | 3.37*** | 4.07*** | 1.3 *** |
| III | 1980-81 to1989-90 | 0.74*** | 1.83*** | -0.01 NS | 2.5 *** | 2 *** | 3.97*** | 1.29 *** |
| IV | 1990-91 to1999-00 | -0.68*** | 1.42*** | 2.1 *** | 0.95*** | 0.8 *** | 3.29*** | 0.47 *** |
| V | 2000-01 to2009-10 | 0.62*** | 1.44*** | 1.84*** | 1.57*** | -3.09 *** | 5.84*** | 1.1 *** |
| VI | 2010-11 to2016-17 | -0.68*** | 0.8 *** | -0.74 * | -0.34* | -2.81 *** | 2.32*** | -0.32 * |
| Over all | 1960-61 to2016-17 | 0.18*** | 1.6 *** | 1.12*** | 1.7 *** | 1.52*** | 3.92*** | 0.93*** |

(,, *** " and ,,*" indicates significance at 1 and 5 per cent level, NS is Non-Significant)

Table 4: Instability of livestock population of India

| Sr. No. | Periods | Cattle | Buffalo | Sheep | Goat | Pig | Poultry | Total Livestoc k |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|---------|-------|---------|------------------------|
| | | Coefficie | nt of Varia | ation (p | er cent | t) | | |
| I | 1960-61 to1969-70 | 0.67 | 3.27 | 1.36 | 3.02 | 6.51 | 7.23 | 1.51 |
| II | 1970-71 to1979-80 | 1.59 | 5.02 | 4.08 | 8.95 | 10.53 | 13.45 | 4.1 |
| III | 1980-81 to1989-90 | 2.25 | 5.57 | 2.22 | 7.62 | 6.34 | 12.39 | 3.9 |
| IV | 1990-91 to1999-00 | 2.17 | 4.26 | 6.29 | 2.93 | 2.6 | 10.08 | 1.52 |
| V | 2000-01 to2009-10 | 2.41 | 4.37 | 6.32 | 5.14 | 9.77 | 16.9 | 3.66 |
| VI | 2010-11 to2016-17 | 1.57 | 1.77 | 2.27 | 1.04 | 6.38 | 5.01 | 0.93 |
| Over all | 1960-61 to2016-17 | 5.01 | 25.67 | 19.81 | 26.89 | 28.96 | 63.2 | 15.4 |
| | | Copp | pock Index | (per c | ent) | | | |
| I | 1960-61 to1969-70 | 0 | 0.032 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.083 | 0.118 | 0.006 |
| II | 1970-71 to1979-80 | 0.009 | 0.086 | 0.05 | 0.257 | 0.392 | 0.482 | 0.057 |
| III | 1980-81 to1989-90 | 0.019 | 0.12 | 0.009 | 0.182 | 0.176 | 0.368 | 0.06 |
| IV | 1990-91 to1999-00 | 0.013 | 0.067 | 0.121 | 0.024 | 0.028 | 0.37 | 1.52 |
| V | 2000-01 to2009-10 | 0.002 | 0.055 | 0.054 | 0.041 | 0.208 | 0.881 | 3.66 |

Comment [PE32]: Why not use the lower and upper limits of the years as your class interval? Example; 1960 to 1970 instead of 1960-61 to 1969-70 as done here.

| VI | 2010-11 to2016-17 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.014 0.002 | 0.154 | 0.086 | 0.002 |
|-------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Over all | 1960-61 to2016-17 | 0.002 | 0.473 | 0.151 0.461 | 0.211 | 2.88 | 0.128 |

Table 5: Growth and instability in milk production of India

| Sr. No. | Periods | CGR (%) | CV (%) | Coppock Index (%) |
|----------|-------------------|---------|--------|-------------------|
| I | 1960-61 to1969-70 | 0.58 NS | 3.77 | 0.023 |
| II | 1970-71 to1979-80 | 4.23*** | 12.64 | 0.551 |
| III | 1980-81 to1989-90 | 5.41*** | 15.69 | 0.906 |
| IV | 1990-91 to1999-00 | 4.47*** | 13.39 | 0.531 |
| V | 2000-01 to2009-10 | 4.45*** | 13.49 | 0.55 |
| VI | 2010-11 to2016-17 | 5.16*** | 11.06 | 0.472 |
| Over all | 1960-61 to2016-17 | 4.18*** | 67.54 | 3.395 |

^{(,,***&}quot; and NS indicates significance at 1 per cent level and Non-Significant)

Table 6: Growth and instability in meat production of India

| Sr. No. | Periods | CGR (%) | CV (%) | Coppock Index (%) |
|----------|-------------------|----------|--------|-------------------|
| I | 1960-61 to1969-70 | 1.91 *** | 5.85 | 0.091 |
| II | 1970-71 to1979-80 | 2.63 *** | 7.85 | 0.213 |
| III | 1980-81 to1989-90 | 3.18 *** | 10.65 | 0.371 |
| IV | 1990-91 to1999-00 | 1.45 *** | 4.68 | 0.092 |
| V | 2000-01 to2009-10 | 3.39 *** | 10.31 | 0.341 |
| VI | 2010-11 to2016-17 | 2.32 *** | 9.44 | 0.362 |
| Over all | 1960-61 to2016-17 | 2.74 *** | 44.35 | 1.606 |

^{(,,***&}quot; Indicate significance at 1 per cent level)

Table 7: Growth and instability in egg production of India

| Sr. No. | Periods | CGR (%) | CV (%) | Coppock Index (%) |
|----------|-------------------|----------|--------|-------------------|
| I | 1960-61 to1969-70 | 6.5 *** | 19.13 | 1.698 |
| II | 1970-71 to1979-80 | 6.46 *** | 18.81 | 0.942 |
| III | 1980-81 to1989-90 | 8.16 *** | 23.43 | 1.599 |
| IV | 1990-91 to1999-00 | 4.27 *** | 12.57 | 0.514 |
| V | 2000-01 to2009-10 | 5.57 *** | 16.6 | 0.853 |
| VI | 2010-11 to2016-17 | 5.34 *** | 11.36 | 0.454 |
| Over all | 1960-61 to2016-17 | 6.02 *** | 86.2 | 8.812 |

(,***" indicates significance at 1 per cent level)

Table 8: Growth and instability of wool production of India

| Sr. No. | Periods | CGR (%) | CV (%) | Coppock Index (%) |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|
| I | 1960-61 to1969-70 | 1.17 NS | 7.35 | 0.15 |
| II | 1970-71 to1979-80 | -1.5 NS | 8.64 | 0.089 |
| III | 1980-81 to1989-90 | 3.04 *** | 9.09 | 0.268 |
| IV | 1990-91 to1999-00 | 2.06 *** | 7.22 | 0.087 |
| V | 2000-01 to2009-10 | -1.76 *** | 6.03 | 0.049 |
| VI | 2010-11 to2016-17 | 0.1 NS | 4.18 | 0.001 |
| Over all | 1960-61 to2016-17 | 0.81 *** | 15.3 | 0.129 |

(,,***" and NS indicates significance at 1 per cent level and Non-Significant)

Table 9: Export and import of milk products

| | | • | | | Trade | balance |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Expo | ort | Impo | rt | | |
| Year | Quantity (tonnes) | Value (1000\$) | Quantity (tonnes) | Value (1000\$) | Quantity (tonnes) | Value (1000\$) |
| 1960-61 | 63 | 24 | 41890 | -13620 | -41827 | -13620 |
| 1970-71 | 1940 | 532 | 54003 | -15683 | -52063 | -15683 |
| 1980-81 | 2728 | 2214 | 54484 | -89747 | -51756 | -89747 |
| 1990-91 | 22639 | 10484 | 1466 | 7184 | 21173 | 7184 |
| 2000-01 | 197179 | 114182 | 1261 | 112355 | 195918 | 112355 |
| 2010-11 | 155461 | 160897 | 46864 | 98795 | 108597 | 98795 |
| 2016-17 | 276878 | 312157 | 11240 | 292365 | 265638 | 292365 |

Table 10: Export and import of total meat

| | | | | | Trade balance | |
|---------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Year | Export | | Import | | | |
| | Quantity (tonnes) | Value (1000\$) | Quantity (tonnes) | Value (1000\$) | Quantity (tonnes) | Value (1000\$) |
| 1960-61 | 510 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 510 | 278 |
| 1970-71 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 8 |
| 1980-81 | 47191 | 57686 | 0 | 0 | 47191 | 57686 |
| 1990-91 | 91703 | 94155 | 0 | 0 | 91703 | 94155 |
| 2000-01 | 247178 | 261668 | 5 | 43 | 247173 | 261625 |
| 2010-11 | 977777 | 2687569 | 137 | 1222 | 977640 | 2686347 |
| 2016-17 | 1331263 | 3971238 | 408 | 2346 | 1330855 | 3968892 |

Table 11: Export and import of eggs

| | | | | | Trade balance | | |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Year | Export | | Import | | | | |
| | Quantity (tonnes) | Value (1000\$) | Quantity (tonnes) | Value (1000\$) | Quantity (tonnes) | Value (1000\$) | |
| 1960-61 | 1375 | 858 | 1016 | 407 | 359 | 451 | |
| 1970-71 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | |
| 1980-81 | 6015 | 4963 | 14 | 20 | 6001 | 4943 | |
| 1990-91 | 5802 | 3689 | 0 | 0 | 5802 | 3689 | |
| 2000-01 | 21185 | 32183 | 8 | 15 | 21177 | 32168 | |
| 2010-11 | 40598 | 70688 | 316 | 1249 | 40282 | 69439 | |
| 2016-17 | 37233 | 77851 | 34 | 893 | 37199 | 76958 | |

Table 12: Export and import of livestock

| Year | Export | | Import | | Trade balance | |
|---------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Heads (No.) | Value (1000\$) | Heads (No.) | Value (1000\$) | Heads (No.) | Value (1000\$) |
| 1960-61 | 22700 | 263 | 5 | 2 | 22965 | 261 |
| 1970-71 | 4781 | 45 | 7351 | 123 | -2570 | -78 |
| 1980-81 | 75872 | 6538 | 9296 | 302 | 66576 | 6236 |
| 1990-91 | 47309 | 4786 | 218574 | 3226 | -171265 | 1560 |
| 2000-01 | 1234 | 281 | 43803 | 379 | -42569 | -98 |
| 2010-11 | 343336 | 14031 | 19678 | 2388 | 323658 | 11643 |
| 2016-17 | 719360 | 36589 | 59487 | 20894 | 659873 | 15695 |

Table 13: Export and import value of livestock products and livestock (Value in '1000' \$)

| Year | Grand total of export and import value of livestock products and livestock | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Export | Import | Trade Balance | | | | |
| 1960-61 | 1423 | 14053 | -12630 | | | | |
| 1970-71 | 587 | 16339 | -15752 | | | | |
| 1980-81 | 71401 | 92283 | -20884 | | | | |
| 1990-91 | 113114 | 6526 | 106588 | | | | |
| 2000-01 | 408314 | 2264 | 408519 | | | | |
| 2010-11 | 2933185 | 166961 | 2840976 | | | | |
| 2016-17 | 4397835 | 43925 | 4423561 | | | | |